

#### Traditio et Innovatio

# The use of a Tobit-like-classification in genetic evaluation of German trotters

#### A.-E. Bugislaus<sup>1</sup>, E. Stamer<sup>2</sup>, N. Reinsch<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Rostock, Germany <sup>2</sup>TiDa, Germany <sup>3</sup>Leibniz Institute for Farm Animal Biology FBN, Germany

05.09.2011

UNIVERSITÄT ROSTOCK | FAKULTÄT AGRAR- UND UMWELTWISSENSCHAFTEN



# Actual breeding value evaluation in German trotters

- Model: BLUP animal model utilizing individual race results from all starting trotters
- Traits: Earnings per race Rank at finish

#### Racing time per km



Question: Do only trotters with earnings show their real racing potential whereas trotters without earnings are not driven to their limit?

# Censored race results could be responsible for a potentially severe bias in actual genetic evaluation in German trotters



## Objectives of the study

- > Definition of censoring in race results of German trotters
- > Tobit-like-Threshold-Model of racing performances
- > Use of a real Tobit-Model for the censored trait racing time per km
- Comparison with linear model that treated all individual racing times per km as uncensored



## Data for genetic estimations

- Total data set consisted of 105,981 race performances from 6,504 trotters (mean of racing time per km = 79.7 s/km)
- Data set involved 14,148 races with 7.5 participants in average
- > Starting method was in all races the auto start
- Pedigree back to the fourth generation was included (20,703 animals)



# Censoring in race results of German trotters and description of different used genetic models

Trait	Uncensored racing	Tobit-like-Threshold-Model	Censored racing time per
	time per km (y)	for placing status	km for Tobit-Model (y*)
Exemplary	У <sub>1</sub>	5	У <sub>1</sub>
results of one race sorted by ranks at finish	У <sub>2</sub>	4	У <sub>2</sub>
	У <sub>3</sub>	3	У <sub>3</sub>
	У <sub>4</sub>	2	У4
	У <sub>5</sub>	1	У <sub>5</sub>
	У <sub>6</sub>	0	У <sub>6</sub> *
	У <sub>7</sub>	0	У <sub>7</sub> *
	У <sub>8</sub>	0	y <sub>8</sub> *
	<b>У</b> 9	0	<b>y</b> 9*
	<b>У</b> 10	0	<b>y</b> <sub>10</sub> *
Model	Linear-Model:	Threshold-Model:	Tobit-Model: Bayesian
	Bayesian analysis	Bayesian analysis	analysis with data augmentation
Program	LMMG	LMMG_TH	LMMG_TOB
Ũ	(REINSCH, 1996)	(REINSCH, 1996)	(REINSCH, 2011)



### Tobit-Model for censored trait racing time per km: Data augmentation

> For each  $y^* > y_5$  the threshold is determined as standardized value:

$$t = \frac{y_5 - x_i'\beta - z_i'u}{\sigma_e}$$

A random variable a<sub>i</sub> < t is drawn from a truncated standard normal distribution and is subsequently transformed to the original scale:</p>

$$y_i^* = a_i^* \sigma_e + x_i' \beta + z_i' u$$

For one iteration y<sub>i</sub>\* is treated as observation, in the following round y<sub>i</sub>\* is again determined



## Univariate genetic-statistical model

### $y = Xb + Z_1a + Z_2pe + e$

- y: vector of observations containing either the uncensored or censored trait racing time per km or the threshold trait placing status of each trotter in each individual race
- b: fixed effects
- a: random animal effect
- pe: random permanent environmental effect
- e: residual effect
- X, Z<sub>1</sub>, Z<sub>2</sub>: incidence matrices
  - > 1 million cycles were generated (Gibbs sampling algorithm)
  - > As burn-in period 250,000 rounds were considered



### Fixed effects

- sex (stallion, mare, gelding)
- > age of trotter (12 classes)
- > year-season of race (three months are one season)
- condition of race track (fast, good, medium, heavy, muddy)
- distance of race (10 distance classes)
- > driver (1, ..., 1572)
- $\succ$  each individual race (1, ..., 14148)

#### % 3.0 $h^2 = 0.095 (0.016)$ Uncensored racing time per km 2.5 (Linear model) 2.0 1.5 1.0 -0.5 -0 3.0 -**Placing status** 2.5 $h^2 = 0.207 (0.025)$ (Tobit-like-Threshold model) 2.0 1.5 1.0 -0.5 0 3.0 -Censored racing time per km 2.5 $h^2 = 0.208 (0.024)$ (Tobit model) 2.0 1.5 1.0 -0.5 0.034 0.232 0.067 0.100 0.133 0.166 0.199 0.265 0.298 0.331 heritability

#### Posteriori distributions of heritabilities (750,000 of 1 mio. iterations)







# Rank correlations between breeding values estimated with different genetic models





## Conclusion

- Trotters without earnings didn't show their real racing potential and should be regarded as censored observations.
- Tobit-(like-Threshold)-Models with censored race results represented good suitability for genetic evaluation.
- Heritability estimates for the threshold trait placing status and the censored trait racing time per km were very similar.
- Also the high rank correlation between the breeding values of placing status and the breeding values of censored racing time per km showed great agreement.

# Thank you for your attention!