



Nutritional flexibility of Charolais Cows

DE LA TORRE Anne, UR 1213 Herbivores, INRA Clermont-Fd/Theix

BLANC Fabienne, VetAgro Sup, Lempdes

EGAL David, UEMA, INRA Clermont-Fd/Theix

AGABRIEL Jacques, UR 1213 Herbivores, INRA Clermont-Fd/Theix

EAAP, Stavanger, Norway, 29th August – 2nd September 2011

BACKGROUND

Beef cattle systems

- \rightarrow Maximisation of fodder self sufficiency
- \rightarrow Feeding management more economical and sustainable

Winter undernutrition is commonly used

French dietary guidelines for cattle (INRA 2007)

- Cows can be fed under their requirements
- → Abilities of cows to mobilize their body reserves, especially adipose tissues
 - * Data largely refer to **multiparous cows**



Experimental Scheme





Estimation of Energy available for maintenance (Eam)



Results



Nutritional challenge doesn't affect the function of production



PP are more sensitive to the nutritional challenge than MP cows Dynamic weight changes differ according to the parity



the potential of growth of primiparous cows

Energy partitioning during indoor period



• Nutritional challenge induces a metabolic adaptation: 30% Eam (0.28 vs 0.40)

• Eam differs from the theoretical value

Dynamic body mass changes



Body weight gain is observed in both PP and MP cows Dynamic of body weight change is similar







- Eam is lower in winter feed restricted cows
- \rightarrow retention of metabolic adaptation at least on the first part of pasture ?
- At pasture, Eam > theoretical value +20%

Conclusions



Perspectives

⇒ Differences between observed and theoretical values

Improvement of energy for maintenance prediction

➡<u>Take into account the energy balance changes</u>
<u>during the production cycle</u>

Development of a dynamic predictive model of energy partitioning in beef cows

Thank you...

