### Agriculture and Agriculture et Agri-Food Canada Agroalimentaire Canada

Despite the advantages, in terms of growth

rate and lean yield, there is evidence that feeding pigs with ractopamine (RAC) makes pigs more susceptible to stress and more aggressive. The use of high-lean growth potential genotypes, such as Halothane-free Pietrain pigs or immuno-castrates may allow producers to have the same performances as RAC-fed pigs at no detriment on animal welfare

The objective of this study was to evaluate

the efficiency of immuno-castration and use of Pietrain genetics as alternatives to ractopamine administration, in terms of carcass and meat

A total of 756 pigs ( $115\pm5$  kg liveweight) were distributed into two main groups (376 and 380 pigs each), receiving 7.5 ppm of ractopamine

(RAC) or not (NRAC) in their diet during the

last 28 days of the finishing period. Within each

group, 377 castrates (CAS) and 379 immuno-

castrates (IC), and two genotypes (379

controls, CONT, and 377 Pietrain<sup>NN</sup>, PI) were

represented according to a 2 x 2 x 2 factorial

design. Castration took place at one day of

age, while immuno-castration was performed through two subcutaneous injections of Improvac $(2 \ ml)$  at 10 and 4 weeks before

Within each treatment group, pigs were

weighed at the farm prior to transportation and

their carcass traits (hot carcass weight and lean yield) obtained from the grading slips and

the dressing yield was calculated. Meat quality was assessed on 336 carcasses (7/group) in the *longissimus dorsi* (LD) muscle at 24 h post-

mortem by measuring pH and light reflectance (L\*). A LD muscle chop was taken at the  $\frac{3}{4}$  last

rib level for the assessment of drip loss at 48 h

post-mortem and other two chops were taken, vacuum-packed, aged for 5 days and frozen pending the analysis of shear force. Data were analyzed using the Proc Mixed procedure in SAS, with the animal as the experimental unit.



# Efficiency of immuno-castration and use of Pietrain genetics as alternatives to ractopamine administration, in terms of carcass and meat quality in pigs

## ROCHA, L.M.<sup>1,2</sup>, FAUCITANO, L.<sup>2</sup>, BERTOLONI, W.<sup>3</sup>, WESCHENFELDER, A.V.<sup>2,4</sup> and BRIDI, A.M.<sup>1</sup>

1State University of Londrina, Londrina, Brazil, \*Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Sherbrooke, Canada; \*State University of Mato Grosso, Cuiabá, Brazil; \*Laval University, Quebec City, Canada;

#### Introduction

and meat quality.

quality in pigs.

slaughter.

**Materials** and Methods

#### **Results and Discussion**

Table 1. Effects of ractopamine, castration method and genetics on carcass characteristics<sup>a</sup>

	With ractopamine				Without ractopamine				SEM		P values		
	CAS		IC		CAS		IC			RAC	CAS	GEN	CAS*GEN
	CONT	PI	CONT	PI	CONT	PI	CONT	PI			-	-	-
Live weight (Kg)	115.8	116.2	117.5	117.5	115.6	116.1	116.9	114.8	0.75	NS	NS	NS	NS
Hot carcass weight (Kg)	92.6 <sup>sb</sup>	94.4ª	92.6 <sup>ab</sup>	92.6 <sup>ab</sup>	91.5 <sup>b</sup>	94.0 <sup>ab</sup>	91.0°	90.1°	0.66	0.001	0.0008	0.05	0.004
Dressing yield (%)	80.1 <sup>sb</sup>	81.2ª	78.8 <sup>bc</sup>	78.9 <sup>bc</sup>	79.2 <sup>bc</sup>	81.1ª	78.0 <sup>c</sup>	78.5°	0.34	0.01	< 0.001	0.0001	0.01
Lean yield (%)	62.9 <sup>abc</sup>	62.6 <sup>bc</sup>	63.3 <sup>ab</sup>	63.7ª	62.5 <sup>bc</sup>	62.1°	62.9 <sup>abc</sup>	62.4 <sup>bc</sup>	0.28	0.0004	0.002	NS	NS

 $^{\rm a}$  Within a row, means with a different superscript differ (P <0.05)

Table 2. Effects of ractopamine, castration method and genetics on meat quality traits<sup>a</sup>

	V	Vith rac	topamine	9	Without ractopamine				SEM	P values				
	CAS		IC		CAS		IC			RAC	CAS	GEN	RAC*CAS	RAC*GEN
	CONT	PI	CONT	PI	CONT	PI	CONT	PI						
pHu	5.8	5.7	5.8	5.7	5.7	5.7	5.7	5.7	0.03	NS	NS	0.04	NS	NS
L*	50.7	50.7	50.7	50.9	51.3	52.3	51.4	51.6	0.63	0.007	NS	NS	NS	NS
Drip loss (%)	2.9	2.9	3.9	3.5	3.7	4.0	3.7	4.0	0.40	0.01	0.06	NS	0.05	NS
Shear force (Kg)	2.9°	3.4 <sup>ab</sup>	2.9°	3.6ª	2.7 <sup>d</sup>	2.9°	3.0 <sup>bc</sup>	3.2 <sup>abc</sup>	0.11	0.001	0.01	<.0001	NS	0.009

<sup>a</sup> Within a row, means with a different superscript differ (P < 0.05)

- Carcass yield was higher (P = 0.01) in RAC pigs and Pietrain castrates (Table 1)
- Carcasses from RAC pigs and IC were leaner
- (*P* < 0.001 and *P* = 0.002, respectively; Table 1);
- Feeding RAC to barrows reduced drip loss (P = 0.05), while immuno-castration tended to increase it (3.8 vs 3.4 %: P = 0.06: Table 2);
- Shear force (texture) values were slightly higher in pork from RAC-fed Pietrain pigs (P = 0.009); and in pork from immuno-castrates compared to castrates (P = 0.01; Table 2).

Conclusions

Immunocastration more than the use of Pietrain genetics appears to be a viable alternative to the use of ractopamine, as it assures the production of lean carcasses without any major effect on pork quality.

#### **Acknowledgements**

The authors are grateful to Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada and Pfizer Animal Health for the financial support and Aliments du Breton for providing farm and slaughter facilities.

© 2011

# Canada