

Feeding Value of Carob (*Ceratonia siliqua L.*) Beans

Mustafa ÇÜREK¹
curek@hotmail.com

Mesut IŞIK²
mesutisik@hotmail.com

Nihat ÖZEN³
nozen@akdeniz.edu.tr

¹ Ministry of Food, Agricultural and Animal Husbandry, Antalya,

² Batı Akdeniz Agricultural Research Institute, Antalya,

³ Mediterranean University, Agricultural Faculty, Department of Animal Science, Antalya,

Introduction

Carob (Harnup, algarobba) is the bean-like pods of trees belonging to “*Ceratonia siliqua L.*” species of “*Leguminosae*” family. It is a characteristic plant of districts indicating typical Mediterranean climate.

Turkey is one of the homelands of the plant. It is widely found in the natural flora, particularly in Mediterranean and Aegean regions of the country (Figure 1). The total carob production is around 15.000 tons and 9-10 thousand tons is exported.

It is also distributed widely in the USA, Australia and South Africa as well as Mediterranean and Aegean countries such as Cyprus, Greece, Italy, Israel, Spain, Portugal, Morocco, Tunisia, Algeria, Libya.

Different products obtained from Carob are utilized by textile, paper, drug, cosmetics, paint, petroleum and alcohol industries as well as food industry. There are some literatures released indicating that carob, carob products and some residues or by-product obtained from processing plants are used as feed or feed additive in some places of the world. However there is no efficient scientific information about such materials.

There are carob processing plants in Turkey and it is possible for the animal producers to provide a certain amount of pods and molasses as residue or by-products very cheaply and even freely.

This study is conducted in order to determine nutritional composition, nutrient digestibility, total digestible nutrients (TDN), starch value (SV), digestible energy (DE), metabolizable energy (ME), net energy (NE), and silage quality of seedless carob pods, carob molasses and carob molasses silage.

Methods

Seedless pods obtained from a processing plant analyzed in the laboratory for dry matter (DM), organic matters (OM), crude protein (CP), ether extract (EE), crude fiber (CF), ash, nitrogen free material (NFM).

In the second period carob molasses obtained from the same plant and the silage material made from this molasses were analyzed for nutrient composition and evaluated through a classical digestion trials conducted on 3 Chios rams for nutrient digestibility, TDN and SV.

Energy contents of the three materials are calculated depending on the following equations:

$$1 \text{ g TDN} = 4.4 \text{ kcal/kg DE} = 3.616 \text{ kcal/ ME}$$

$$1 \text{ SV (g/kg)} = 2.356 \text{ kcal/kg NE}$$

In the last period of the study carob molasses silage was evaluated according to sensory evaluation, organic acid composition, acidity and dry matter content.

Results

Data related to nutrient composition, % digestibility and energy contents of seedles carob pods, carob molasses and carob molasses silage evaluated through chemical analysis and classical in vivo digestion trials indicated fairly good results comorable to many of the conventional feeds (Tables 1 and 2).

Table 1. Nutritional Composition, Nutritional Digestibility, Feed and Energy Value Data (DM basis)

Nutrients	Seedless carob, pods ¹	Carob Molasses ¹	Carob molasses silage ¹
DM, %	88.35 (63.89 %)	34.43 (55.32 %)	37.63 (53.43 %)
OM, %	93.06 (62.70 %)	96.95 (54.65 %)	92.47 (51.76 %)
CP, %	7.74 (64.49 %)	7.20 (59.89 %)	5.50 (63.04 %)
CF, %	10.34 (52.53 %)	9.24 (63.69 %)	12.58 (62.14 %)
EE, %	1.30 (60.76 %)	0.66 (66.54 %)	0.16 (65.56 %)
Ash, %	6.94 (37.30 %)	3.05 (47.35 %)	7.53 (48.24 %)
NFM, %	73.68 (76.75 %)	76.80 (52.27 %)	74.23 (49.13 %)
TDN, %	68.73	51.32	47.96
SV, g/kg	637	474	417
DE, kcal/kg	3024	2258	2110
ME, kcal/kg	2485	1856	1734
NE, kcal/kg	1501	1117	982

¹ Figures in paranthesis show % digestibility of each nutrient.

Table 2. Comparative Evaluation of Carob Products and Some Conventional Feeds in Their TDN Values (DM basis)

Feeds	TDN, %
Seedless carob bean pods	68.73
Carob molasses	51.32
Carob molasses silage	47.96
Alfaalfa hay, mid bloom	54.00
Grass hay, mid bloom	56.00
Corn silage	52.00
Sugar beet molasses silage	51.00
Sorghum silage	58.00
Wheat straw	38.00
Oat grain	72.00
Wheat bran	70.00

According to qualitative evaluation, carob molasses silage were found “very good” in both sensational and Fleig scoring while it was found “good” when graded depending on organic acid contents (Table 3).

Table 3. Quality of Carob Molasses Silage

Criteria	Evaluation	Score	Quality
<i>Sensetional</i>			
Odor	Slightly butiric acid-sour, fruty-aromatic odor	10	
Structure	No destruction in the structure	4	
Color	Naturel color	2	
Total		16	Very good
<i>Organic acids, %</i>			
Lactic	2.74	0	
Acetic	1.18	20	
Butiric	0.84	50	
Total		70	Good
<i>Fleig scoring</i>			
pH	4.25		
DM, %	37.63	100	Very good

Conclusions

All data obtained from this study indicated that seedless carob bean pods, carob molasses provided from carob processing plants as a residue or by product and the silage made of carob bean molasses can be utilized as fairly good quality alternative cheap feed sources and are comparable to some conventional feeds.

References

- Akyıldız, R., 1984. Yemler Bilgisi Laboratuvar Klavuzu. Ank. Üniv. Zir. Fak. Yayınları, No: 358, Uygulama Klavuzu: 122, s:174-185, Ankara.
- Albanell, E., Caja, G., Plaixats, J., 1991. Characteristics of Spanish carob pods and nutritive value of carob kibbles. *Obtions Mediterraneennes- Serie Seminaires* – No: 16- 1991:135-136.
- Alçiçek, A., Özkan, K., 1997. Silo yemlerinde fiziksel ve kimyasal yöntemlerle silaj kalitesinin saptanması. Türkiye Birinci Silaj Kongresi (16-19 Eylül 1997, İstanbul) Bildirileri, s:241-246, Bursa.
- Anonymous, 1977. Keçiboynuzu (Harnup) Carob. TSE (TS 2907/ Aralık 1977) UDK, 663.3:633.8.
- Anonymous, 1996. <http://www.hort.purdue.edu/newcrop/proceedings1996/V3-416.html>.
- Anonymous, 1997a. http://www.regnodele.it/english/prod_carrubo.htm
- Anonymous, 1997b. <http://www.fao.org/docrop/v9236e/v9236e06.htm>
- Aronson, J and Toledo, C.S., 1992. *Caesalpinia paraguariensis* (Fabacea) forage tree for all seasons. *Economic Botany*, 46:2, 121-132.

- Çürek, M., Işık, M., Özen, N., 2002. Kargı (*Arundo donax L.*) Silajının Yem Kalite Özellikleri. III.Ulusal Zootekni Bilim Kongresi (14-16 Ekim 2002, Ankara) Bildirileri, (Basımda).
- De Sequera, E.M., 1980. Ripe and distilled carobs: some trials to determine their nutritive value. *Acta Biol. (A)* XVI(1-4):226-253, Portugal.
- Dubbeldam, J., 2000. Carob three hides unknown nutritional secrets. *Feed Tech* 4(1): 20-22.
- Düzgüneş, O., Kesici, T., Kavuncu, O. ve Gürbüz, F., 1987. Araştırma ve Deneme Metodları (İstatistik Metodları II) Ank.Üniv.Zir.Fak. Yay:1021, Ders Kitabı:295.
- Ekşi, A., Artık, N., 1986. Harnup (Keçiboynuzu) meyvesi ve pekmezinin kimyasal bileşimi. Ankara Üniv. Zir. Fak. Yıllığı-1986, cilt: 36, fasikül 1, Ankara Üniversitesi Basımevi, Ankara.
- Ercan, A.S., 1985. Keçiboynuzu dış pazar araştırması. İGEME. T.C. Başbakanlık Hazine ve Dış Ticaret Müsteşarlığı İhracatı Geliştirme Etüd Merkezi, No: 98, s: 1-33.
- Eti, S., Kaşka, N., 1990. Türkiye’de keçiboynuzu yetiştiriciliği ve ekonomik önemi, *Derim Dergisi*, 7(3):123-129.
- Karkacier, M., Artık, N., 1995. Keçiboynuzunun (*Ceratonia siliqua L.*) fiziksel özellikleri, kimyasal bileşimi ve ekstraksiyon koşulları. *Gıda Dergisi*, 20 (39): 131-136.
- Kılıç, A., 1986. Silo yemi (öğretim, öğrenim ve uygulama önerileri). Bilgehan Basımevi, s:255-262, İzmir.
- Kılıç, A., 1992. Yem olarak keçiboynuzunu tanımak ister misiniz? *Yem Magazin Dergisi*,76:15-17.
- Kızıltan, M., 1989. Keçiboynuzu. *Ziraat Mühendisliği Dergisi*, Nisan 1989, s:7-10.
- Lanza, M., Priolo, A., Biondi, L., Bella, M., Ben Salem, H., 2001. Replacement of cereal grains by orange pulp and carob pulp in faba bean-based diets fed to lambs: effects on growth performance and meat quality. *Anim.Res.* 50 (2001) 21-30.
- Özen, N., 1997. Et Sığırlarının Beslenmesi ve Sığır Besisi. Akd.Üni.Zir.Fak.Yard. Ders Notu No:2, 219 s., Antalya.
- Özen, N., 1999. Süt Irkı Sığırların Beslenmesi. Akd. Üni. Zir. Fak. Yard. Ders Notu No: 3, 123 s., Antalya.
- Özen, N., Haşımoğlu, S., Çakır, A., Aksoy, A., 1999. Yemler Bilgisi ve Yem Teknolojisi. Atatürk Üniversitesi Ziraat Fakültesi Ders Notları: 50. Atatürk Üniversitesi Ziraat Fakültesi Ofset Tesisi, 254 s., Erzurum.
- Özen, N., 2001. Koyunların Beslenmesi ve Kuzu-Toklu-Şişek Koyun-Koç Besisi. Akd. Üni. Zir. Fak.Yrd.Ders Notu No:4,122 s., Antalya.
- Vardar, Y., Seçmen, Ö. and Öztürk, M., 1980. Some Distributional Problems And Biological Characteristics of CERATONIA in Turkey. Portug. Acta. Biol. (A) XVI (1-4) ; 75-86.
- Yavuz, H.M., 2001. Çiftlik Hayvanlarının Beslenmesinde Temel Prensipler ve Karma Yem Üretiminde Bazı Bilimsel Yaklaşımlar. Farmavet İlaç San.ve Tic.A.Ş. Bilimsel Çalışma Grubu ve Ar-ge Departmanı, ISBN NO: 975-97831-O-X, İstanbul, 896s.
- Yazıcıoğlu, T., Ömeroğlu, S., Ceritoğlu, A., 1983. Keçiboynuzundan Pekmez ve İçki İspirtosu Yapılması Üzerinde Bir Araştırma. Tübitak Marmara Bilimsel ve Endüstriyel Araştırma Enstitüsü. Beslenme ve Gıda Teknolojisi Bölümü, yayın no:67, Gebze, Kocaeli.