63<sup>rd</sup>Annual Meeting of the European Association for Animal Production, August 26th – 31st 2012- Bratislava Slovakia Session 21 – Free Communications: nutrition – abstract No. 14692 Corresponding author: luciano.migliorati@entecra.it



Milk yield and N excretion of dairy cows fed diets with carbohydrates of different fermentescibility



L.Migliorati<sup>\*1</sup>, L.Boselli<sup>1</sup>, M.Capelletti<sup>1</sup>, F.Masoero<sup>2</sup>, F.Abeni<sup>1</sup>, G.Pirlo<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>CRA-FLC Fodder and Dairy Production Research Centre – Cremona, Italy <sup>2</sup>Food Science and Nutrition Institute, UCSC – Piacenza, Italy

### INTRODUCTION

 Energy availability for lactating cows primarily depends on rapidly hydrolyzed carbohydrates and cell wall components availability.

Table 2 – Feedstuffs and chemical composition of experimental diets on DM % basis						
	Treatments					
	HF	LF	HFNDF			

- Dietary carbohydrates fractions (NSC + NDF) represent 65-75% on DM basis of diets fed lactating cows.
- An adequate NSC:RDP ratio positively affect milk yield by increasing total carbohydrates digestion and microbial N efficiency. (Hoover and Stokes JDS, 1991)
- Fat content of milk is increased by dehydrated sugar beet pulpes supplementation directly attributed to the increase in fiber intake by cows. (Mansfield et al., JDS, 1994)

# AIM

 Aim of this study was to assess the effect of carbohydrate fermentescibility on milk yield and N excretion.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

 Twenty four multiparous Italian Friesian cows were divided into three groups according to parity, DIM (103±49) and milk production, were fed three diets (Table 1) with 14.7% CP (on DM basis). Chemical composition and nutrition characteristics of the diets are in Table 2. • Experimental design was a Latin square (3x3) with three treatments and three periods of four weeks. The first two weeks were for adaptation, whereas the last two were sampling periods. Effects of treatment and group were determined by ANOVA using the MIXED procedure of SAS. Cow is used as a random effect.

Corn silage	36.2	<b>5.2 37.3</b>		36.2				
Alfalfa hay	12.3	12.3 12.7		10.3				
Straw hay	10.4	10.4 10.7		8.3				
Soybean meal flakes mix	8.0	8.0 8.4		8.1				
Flaked barley – corn mix	24.5	24.5		20.4				
Corn meal	22.2							
Dehyd sugar beet pulpes				8.2				
Wheat distillers	5.6	5.6 5.7		5.5				
Bran	0.5		0.5	0.5				
Mineral salts	2.2	2.2		2.2				
RP – Lys	0.2	0.2		0.2				
RP – Met	0.1	0.1 0.1		0.1				
CP (DM %)	14.7	4.7 14.5		14.9				
NDF (DM %)	42.7	42.7 40.8		43.4				
NSC (DM %)	32.7	32.7 32.8		32.8				
Starch (DM %)	24.8	24.8 27.1		23.5				
EN <sub>L</sub> (Mcal/kg)	1.59	1.59		1.60				
Table 3 – Experimental results								
	Treatments							
	HF	LF	HFNDF	SE				
DMI (kg/day/group)	189	195	194					
BCS	2.62	2.55	2.67					
Milk yield (kg/day)	33.4	33.4	33.8	0.63				
FCM 4%(kg/day)	29.6	29.6	30.7	0.82				
Milk fat content (%)	3.29 <sup>ab</sup>	3.16 <sup>a</sup>	3.39 <sup>b</sup>	0.10				
Milk protein content(%)	3.34	3.34	3.38	0.039				
Milk urea content (mg/dl)	19.7	19.3	19.9	0.75				
Milk casein content (%)	2.56	2.59	2.63	0.029				
Milk solids content (%)		12.1	12.1					
SCC (*1000/ml)	12.0 324			63.3				
SCC (*1000/ml) Milk fat vield (kg/dav)	324	226	201	63.3 0.037				
Milk fat yield (kg/day)	324 1.08 <sup>ab</sup>	226 1.06 <sup>a</sup>	201 1.14 <sup>b</sup>	0.037				
Milk fat yield (kg/day) Milk protein yield (kg/day)	324 1.08 <sup>ab</sup> 1.10	226 1.06 <sup>a</sup> 1.12	201 1.14 <sup>b</sup> 1.13	0.037 0.025				
Milk fat yield (kg/day)	324 1.08 <sup>ab</sup> 1.10 0.85	226 1.06 <sup>a</sup> 1.12 0.87	201 1.14 <sup>b</sup> 1.13 0.88	0.037				

• N excretion was estimated according to Jonker et al., (JDS, 1998) utilising milk urea content, milk yield and protein content.

### **Table 1 – Treatments**

HF	High fermentable starch
LF	Low fermentable starch
HFNDF	High fermentable starch with

	Table 4 – Nitrogen balance estimation and efficiency					
		Treatments				
		HF	LF	HFNDF	SE	
	N intake (g/cow/day)	453	459	465	6.7	
	Milk N (g/cow/day)	165	172	174	4.3	
h	Urinary N (g/cow/day)	113	112	115	3.85	
	Faecal N (g/cow/day)	174	175	176	1.14	
	N excretion (g/cow/day)	287	286	291		
n	N excretion (kg/cow/yr)	105	105	106		
	NUE (%)	36.4	37.5	37.4	0.69	
				та		

#### ΠΓΝυΓ

## NDF high degradability

# RESULTS

- •No difference was found in average DMI and BCS between treatments.
- No difference was found in FCM and milk N fraction (protein, caseins and urea).
- Milk yield and fat content were higher in HFNDF than in LF (Table 3).
- No difference was observed in Friesian cows N balance and efficiency (Table 4)

## CONCLUSIONS

A partial substitution of flaked barley – corn mix (HF) with dehydrated sugar beet pulpes (HFNDF) increases milk fat content and yield probably due to an higher fermentescibility of the diet.

Carbohydrates of different fermentescibility made no difference on N excretion because of treatment types have the same CP content.

These results were obtained in the context of "RENAI" project financed by MiPAAF and were applied in LIFE+ project AQUA, coordinated by CRPA (http://aqua.crpa.it) financed by European Commission area Environment. The study involves 4 demonstrative dairy farms placed in the North Italy District: Lombardia (Grana Padano DOP District) Emilia Romagna (Parmigiano DOP District) Veneto and Friuli Venezia Giulia (high quality milk District). Aim of LIFE+ project AQUA is to reduce N excretion without penalise animal performances using feeding techniques with high N efficiency based on low CP content diets.