



THE PROFITABILITY OF SEASONAL MOUNTAIN DAIRY FARMING IN NORWAY

Leif Jarle Asheim¹, Tor Lunnan², and Hanne Sickel²

1. Norwegian Agricultural Economics Research Institute,
P. O. Box 8024, Dep., 0030 Oslo, Norway.

(e-mail: Leif-Jarle.asheim@nilf.no)

2. Norwegian Institute for Agricultural and Environmental
Research, Arable Crop Division, Løken, 2900 Heggenes, Norway.

(e-mail: Tor.Lunnan@bioforsk.no; hanne.sickel@bioforsk.no)

Outline

- **Background**
- **The production system**
- **Objective**
- **Material and methods**
- **Results**
- **Discussion**
- **Conclusions**
- **Questions?**

Background

- Summer mountain dairy farming has a long tradition in Norway
- Important in the south and middle parts e.g. Valdres 74% of cows
- Feed value of outfield pasture, € 95.3 million in 2004
- The share taken by cattle declined from 58% (1939) to 29% (2004)
- Larger dairy herds and shorter grazing period
- Grazing pressure on plants and forest vegetation is reduced
- Use of firewood for cheese-making has ceased
- Biodiversity in semi-natural grasslands is threatened

Background

- Are some consumers becoming more health conscious ?
- **Characteristics of milk from species rich pastures:**
 - More polyunsaturated fatty acids and conjugated linoleic acid (CLA),
 - Less saturated fatty acids,
 - Higher in various antioxidants,
 - Improved processing properties,
 - Chemical content and possible flavor of dairy products is affected.
- **Mountain products: Consumer expectations (Euromontana, 2010):**
 - Produced from raw mountain materials,
 - Have a link to the cultural identity of local communities,
 - Connected to specific cultural areas,
 - Produced with traditional methods by small-scale producers.

The production system

- Farms at 400-700 m altitude, summer pasture 200-400 m higher
- Mountain grazing about 70 days from end of June
- Supplementary feeding and fertilized pastures during nights
- Farmland grazing 3-4 weeks before and after the mountain period
- Higher milk price during the summer +0.7-1.0 NOK/l (+€ 0.1-0.12/l)
- Agr. policy support for seasonal mountain dairying, ca € 4,000/year
- Milk quota with exemption for milk processed at the farm

Objective

- «to compare economically different ways of organizing the production, in particular time of calving, on smaller family operated dairy farms in mountainous areas»

Material and methods

A family farm Linear Programming (LP) model comparing :

- 1) *Retaining the cows on farmland pasture (FP),*
- 2) *Seasonal mountain farm dairy business (MF) by*
 - a) Deliver all the milk directly or,
 - b) Process 5 tons into 500 kg sour cream or butter or
 - c) Process 20 tons into 2,000 kg of white cheese and 1,000 kg of “brown” cheese out of whey and 500 kg of cream
- 3) *Common pasture (CP) with two neighboring farms*
- 4) *Farming co-operative (FC) with three neighboring farms*

Material and methods

- Farm LP model: $\text{Max } Z = c'x$ subject to $Ax \leq b, x \geq 0$.
- Z is the objective function i.e. total gross margin (TGM), return from livestock, government payments, minus variable costs;
- x is a vector of activity levels;
- c' the vector of marginal net returns per unit of each activity;
- A is the matrix of coefficients of resource requirements by activity;
- b is the vector of right-hand side values of resources (land, labour quota) and balances e.g. feed requirements
- Fixed costs subtracted from the solution and changes in work accounted for

Material and methods

- Data from dairy farms with seasonal mountain farming, and a control group without, from the official farm account statistics
- Limited area, 25 ha of which 6 ha is in the mountain (10 km distance), all to be used for meadows (baled) or pasture or both
- Milk quota of about 99,000 kg
- Family work + hire of work

Table 1. DM yields (MJ/kg DM), N-applications, and AAT (g/kg DM) of silage, hay and pasture according to fertilization.

| Fertilizers, tons manure or NPK | Farm yield kg DM/ha | NEL ^a MJ/kg DM | N-application Kg N/ha | AAT ^a g/kg DM |
|--|------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| <i>Silage 2 cuts</i> | | | | |
| Spring: 30 t + 25-2-6 or 18-3-15 | 2840 | 5.66 | 115 | 0.077 |
| Summer: 20 t + 25-2-6 or 18-3-15 | 2100 | 5.93 | 80 | 0.080 |
| <i>Silage and pasture</i> | | | | |
| Spring: 30 t, + 25-2-6 or 18-3-15 | 2840 | 5.66 | 115 | 0.077 |
| Summer: 20 t + 25-2-6 or 22-2-12 | 1080 | 6.42 | 70 | 0.085 |
| <i>Hay and pasture</i> | | | | |
| Spring: 30 t + 25-2-6 | 3550 | 5.24 | 125 | 0.073 |
| Summer: 22-2-12 | 650 | 6.42 | 60 | 0.085 |
| <i>Ryegrass pasture</i> | | | | |
| Spring, summer: 50 t + 25-2-6 | 4260 | 6.76 | 99 | 0.088 |
| <i>Establishment</i> | | | | |
| Spring: 50 t | 2570 | 5.93 | 81 | 0.080 |
| <i>Farmland pasture</i> | | | | |
| Spring, summer, fall: 22-2-12 | 3330 | 6.42 | 170 | 0.085 |
| <i>Permanent pasture</i> | | | | |
| Spring, summer, fall: 22-2-12 | 2290 | 6.42 | 140 | 0.085 |
| <i>Mountain silage/pasture</i> | | | | |
| Spring: 18-3-15 | 3430 | 5.66 | 140 | 0.077 |
| Pasture regrowth | 200 | 6.42 | 20 | 0.085 |
| <i>Mountain permanent pasture</i> | | | | |
| Spring: 18-3-15 | 1880 | 6.42 | 110 | 0.085 |
| <i>Mountain natural pasture^c</i> | | | | |
| Summer | - | 5.93 | 0 | 0.077 |

^aNEL = Net energy lactation, ^bAAT = amino acids absorbed in the small intestine ^cYield/ha is not considered

Table 2. Requirement for energy, protein and DM for cows, baby-calves, heifers and bulls. Milk yield 6656 kg per cow.

| Animal | Calving time October 15 | | Calving time March 15 | |
|---|-------------------------|---------|-----------------------|---------|
| | Indoors | Pasture | Indoors | Pasture |
| <i>Cows, live-weight 550 kg</i> | | | | |
| Energy, NEL | 27 896 | 8 313 | 23 815 | 12 934 |
| Protein, kg AAT | 410 | 117 | 345 | 182 |
| Roughage DM _{Max} , kg | 2 540 | 1110 | 2 540 | 1110 |
| Roughage DM _{Min} , kg | 1 972 | 518 | 1 684 | 773 |
| <i>Calves, live-weight 56 kg</i> | | | | |
| Energy, NEL | 48 | - | 48 | - |
| Protein, kg AAT | 0.3 | - | 0.3 | - |
| Roughage DM, kg | 4 | - | 4 | - |
| <i>Heifers, live-weight 485 kg</i> | | | | |
| Energy, NEL | 12 686 | 8 847 | 14 711 | 6 822 |
| Protein, kg AAT | 168 | 116 | 192 | 93 |
| Roughage DM _{Max} , kg | 3 374 | 1 860 | 3 374 | 1 860 |
| Roughage DM _{Min} , kg | 1 121 | 689 | 1 300 | 532 |
| <i>Bulls, live-weight 590 kg</i> | | | | |
| Energy, NEL | 15 228 | 4 700 | 17 012 | 2 915 |
| Protein, kg AAT | 199 | 61 | 219 | 42 |
| Roughage DM _{Max} , kg | 3 089 | 744 | 3 089 | 744 |
| Roughage DM _{Min} , kg | 807 | 220 | 902 | 136 |

Table 3. Economic parameters, prices, and government farm payments.

| Parameter | Value (NOK) | Parameter | Value (NOK) |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|--|-------------|
| Receipts | | Other expenses | |
| Milk price ^a | 5.06/l | Seeds and herbicides | 359/ha |
| White cheese, net price | 200/kg | Fertiliser 22-2-12 | 3.15/kg |
| “Brown” cheese, net price | 159/kg | Fertilizer 25-2-6 | 2.82/kg |
| Sour cream, net price | 80/l | Fertilizer 18-3-15 | 3.40/kg |
| Bulls, 18 months | 13,361/bull | Lime ^f | 1,630/ton |
| Selling heifers ^b | 12,406/heifer | Diesel | 10.92/l |
| Baby calves | 1,659/calf | Cost of labour | 124/h |
| Bulls, 18 months | 13,361/bull | Custom baling, incl. wrapping and transport | 180/bale |
| Livestock expenses^c | | Governmental payments | |
| F-Elite 90 (6.69, 116) ^d | 3.22/kg | Grassland, 1-20 ha | 3,990/ha |
| F-Calf conc. (6.35, 101) ^d | 3,35/kg | Grassland, > 20 ha | 2,410/ha |
| F-Protein 45 (6.90, 230) ^d | 4.89/kg | Dairy cows, 1-16 | 3,500/cow |
| F-Favør 80 (6.69, 107) ^d | 3.03/kg | Dairy cows, 16-25 | 1,744/cow |
| F-Elite 90 (6.69, 116) ^d | 3.22/kg | Dairy cows, > 25 | 556/cow |
| Other costs for cows ^e | 3211/cow | Other cattle | 787/head |
| Other costs baby calves ^e | 354/calf | Relief payment cows ^g | 2,413/cow |
| Other costs bulls ^e | 1,645/bull | Relief payment, other cattle | 513/head |
| Other costs heifers ^e | 1,365/heifer | Cattle, grazing | 350/head |
| Fixed costs | | Cattle, mountain grazing | 300/head |
| Mountain stall and hut | 9,470/year | Basic milk production | 84,800/year |
| Farm buildings | 240,475/year | Mountain milk production | 32,000/year |

^a The basic price is NOK 4.67 plus rural support 0.39. The price is lowered by NOK 0.26/l for deliveries in October to May and increased by 0.7/l in June and July and by 1.0/l in August and September.

^b Value when surplus heifers are sold. Herd replacement is 0.35 heifers per cow.

^c Price for commercially available concentrate mixtures Felleskjøpet, 2010 (adding 10% for freight etc.)

^d In parentheses: NEL in MJ/kg and AAT g/kg.

^e Consist in milk replacer for young cattle, minerals, veterinary costs medicine, insemination, etc.

^f Limestone is applied at a rate of 4 t/ha in the meadow replacement year.

^g In addition NOK 1,142 for the first 8 cows in total 9,136.

Results – Farm pasture

Table 4. Model solutions for the farm pasture (FP) alternative according to time of calving assuming no farm milk processing.

| | October | March | Year round |
|--------------------------------|---------|--------|------------|
| Calving time | | | |
| <u>Land use</u> | | | |
| Sward establishment, ha | 2,0 | 2,0 | 2,0 |
| Leys for silage, ha | 7,7 | 7,1 | 7,4 |
| Infield pasture, ha | 9,4 | 9,9 | 9,7 |
| Silage or hay and pasture, ha | 0,1 | 0,1 | 0,1 |
| Silage, mountain area, ha | 6,0 | 6,0 | 6,0 |
| Pasture, mountain area, ha | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 |
| Sum | 25,2 | 25,2 | 25,2 |
| Average yield, MJ/ha | 20746 | 20570 | 20655 |
| Concentrate use (% of energy) | 35,8 | 37,5 | 36,6 |
| <u>Livestock</u> | | | |
| Dairy cows (heads) | 14,9 | 14,9 | 14,9 |
| Selling cattle > 1 year | 9,7 | 9,7 | 9,7 |
| Selling baby calves | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 |
| <u>Financial results (NOK)</u> | | | |
| Gross output, farming | 814550 | 835207 | 826780 |
| Government area payments | 90799 | 90799 | 90799 |
| Other payments | 141552 | 134333 | 134333 |
| Variable costs | | | |
| Forages | 180538 | 176499 | 178451 |
| Concentrates | 147035 | 157728 | 152354 |
| Miscellaneous, livestock | 71495 | 71495 | 71495 |
| Gross margin | 506282 | 520284 | 515279 |
| Hired work | 75809 | 75063 | 75424 |
| Fixed costs | 149539 | 149539 | 149539 |
| Farm profit | 280934 | 295683 | 290317 |
| Farm profit per h | 100 | 106 | 104 |

- Can have ca 15 cows on the quota
- Raising the young stock is profitable
- Profit is higher with calving in March due to seasonal milk price in spite of subsidy payments on January 1

Results - Seasonal mountain pasture

Table 5. Model solutions for the mountain pasture (MP) alternative according to time of calving with and without farm milk processing.

| Calving time | No milk processing | | 5 tons | 25 tons |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------|--------|---------|
| | October | March | March | March |
| <u>Land use</u> | | | | |
| Sward establishment, ha | 2,0 | 2,0 | 2,0 | 2,0 |
| Leys for silage, ha | 14,5 | 13,4 | 13,2 | 12,6 |
| Infield pasture, ha | 2,5 | 3,7 | 3,8 | 4,5 |
| Silage or hay and pasture, ha | 0,1 | 0,1 | 0,1 | 0,1 |
| Silage, mountain area, ha | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 1,6 |
| Pasture, mountain area, ha | 6,0 | 6,0 | 6,0 | 4,4 |
| Sum | 25,2 | 25,2 | 25,2 | 25,2 |
| Average yield, MJ/ha | 21686 | 21322 | 21266 | 21400 |
| Concentrate use (% of energy) | 29,1 | 31,3 | 34,7 | 31,4 |
| <u>Livestock</u> | | | | |
| Dairy cows (heads) | 14,9 | 14,9 | 15,7 | 18,7 |
| Selling cattle > 1 year | 9,7 | 9,7 | 10,2 | 2,6 |
| Selling baby calves | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 9,5 |
| <u>Financial results (NOK)</u> | | | | |
| Gross output, farming | 819020 | 839677 | 882008 | 917480 |
| Government area payments | 90799 | 90799 | 90799 | 90799 |
| <u>Other payments</u> | 141552 | 134333 | 141105 | 152495 |
| <u>Variable costs</u> | | | | |
| Forages | 208254 | 199931 | 198097 | 200128 |
| Concentrates | 114171 | 125337 | 145471 | 126008 |
| Miscellaneous, livestock | 71495 | 71495 | 75099 | 77240 |
| Gross margin | 515899 | 533714 | 563397 | 966689 |
| Hired work | 79917 | 78379 | 88682 | 162835 |
| Fixed costs | 127009 | 127009 | 127009 | 127009 |
| Farm profit | 308974 | 328326 | 347706 | 676846 |
| Farm profit | 100 | 104 | 110 | 215 |

- Delivering the milk from the mountain is about as profitable as retaining the cows at the farm
- A small processing of sour cream raises profit slightly
- Larger processing is considerably more profitable. Farm profit per h increase from NOK 104 to 215
- Exemption from the quota and a high price for mountain products are important for the result.

Results - Common Pasture or Farm Co-operative

CP, 45 cows: Milking stall
etc. NOK 530,000.

FC, 60 cows: AMS etc.
NOK 110,000 per cow .

Work time saved 50 %
during grazing (CP) and 50
percent whole year (FC).

Common pasture saves
time during the summer

Farming co-operative
unprofitable due to loss of
subsidies

Table 6. Model solutions for the common pasture (CP) and farming co-operative (FC) alternatives according to time of calving, assuming no farm milk processing

| Calving time | Common pasture | | Farming co-operative | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------|--------|----------------------|--------|------------|--|
| | October | March | October | March | Year round | |
| <u>Land use</u> | | | | | | |
| Sward establishment, ha | 2,0 | 2,0 | 2,0 | 2,0 | 2,0 | |
| Leys for silage, ha | 10,7 | 9,3 | 1,9 | 1,4 | 1,6 | |
| Infield pasture, ha | 1,5 | 2,5 | 7,8 | 8,4 | 8,1 | |
| Silage or hay and pasture, ha | 5,0 | 5,3 | 7,4 | 7,4 | 7,4 | |
| Silage, mountain area, ha | 0,0 | 0,0 | 6,0 | 6,0 | 6,0 | |
| Pasture, mountain area, ha | 6,0 | 6,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | |
| Sum | 25,2 | 25,2 | 25,2 | 25,2 | 25,2 | |
| Average yield, MJ/ha | 21094 | 20691 | 19860 | 19683 | 19769 | |
| Concentrate use (% of energy) | 31,0 | 33,4 | 38,6 | 40,2 | 39,4 | |
| <u>Livestock</u> | | | | | | |
| Dairy cows (heads) | 14,9 | 14,9 | 14,9 | 14,9 | 14,9 | |
| Selling cattle > 1 year | 9,7 | 9,7 | 9,7 | 9,7 | 9,7 | |
| Selling baby calves | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | |
| <u>Financial results (NOK)</u> | | | | | | |
| Gross output, farming | 814550 | 835207 | 762399 | 783056 | 774630 | |
| Government area payments | 90799 | 90799 | 90799 | 90799 | 90799 | |
| Other payments | 141552 | 134333 | 141552 | 134333 | 134333 | |
| Variable costs | | | | | | |
| Forages | 197593 | 188572 | 164572 | 160533 | 162485 | |
| Concentrates | 121589 | 133240 | 158144 | 168838 | 163464 | |
| Miscellaneous, livestock | 71495 | 71495 | 71495 | 71495 | 71495 | |
| Gross margin | 514672 | 532699 | 458987 | 472990 | 467985 | |
| Hired work | 75084 | 71186 | 75084 | 71186 | 71186 | |
| Fixed costs | 159784 | 159784 | 237198 | 237198 | 237198 | |
| Farm profit | 279803 | 301729 | 146705 | 164606 | 159601 | |
| Farm profit | 101 | 108 | 69 | 77 | 74 | |

Discussion

- Moving the time of calving to take advantage of a higher milk price during the summer should be considered on dairy farms
- Seasonal dairy business without processing require more work but pays a similar wage per h as retaining the cows at the farm
- Mountain milk processing business profitable due to:
 - The support for mountain farming
 - Exemption for processed milk in the quota allows for more cows
 - The higher prices obtained compared to industrial products
 - A substantial part of the farm area is in the mountain

Discussion

More interest in seasonal mountain processing expected due to:

- Health aspects of mountain products may be important for demand
- Grazing is to be promoted due to animal welfare concerns
- The risks are probably related to product quality and authenticity of mountain products as well as over-expansion and declining prices
- Investing in a common pasture in the mountain will save time during summer and pays slightly higher earnings per h
- Investing in a dairy co-operative is unprofitable due to losses of subsidies unless the time saved have a substantial alternative value

Conclusion

- The economics of scale is counteracted with policy instruments aiming to preserve the farm structure
- Seasonal processing of the milk in the mountain may be a viable strategy on smaller family farms as the economy may be substantially better than any of the alternatives

However:

- Processing and sale of farm products requires skilled farming entrepreneurs
- Whether the price can be sustained in a longer run remains to be seen.

Questions?



Foto: G. Norling



Foto: G. Norling

Thank you!

