

Hanusová, E.¹, Pospíšilová, D.², Hanus, A.¹, Árvayová, M.² and Peškovičová, D.¹,

¹Animal Production Research Centre Nitra, Hlohovecká 2, 951 41 Lužianky, Slovakia, ²Vetservis,s.r.o., Kalvária 3, 949 01 Nitra, Slovakia, hanusova@cvzv.sk

Objectives

- To observe the growth from birth to adult (42 days of age), the reproduction performance and the microbiological composition of intestinal contents
- To investigate the combine effects of the humic acids (Humac Natur) and probiotic (Propoul) on performance and health in Japanese quail

Material and Methods

- Total 111 Japanese quails – from birth to 44 weeks old
- **Control group (CON)** - standard diet (ME= 11.7 kJ/kg, CP≥200g/kg) ;
- **Experimental group (EXP)** - standard diets + humic acids (3 g Humac / kg feed) + one week in month - Lactobacillus fermentum based probiotic (Propoul 0.06 g/bird)
- Japanese quails were weighted at age of 28 and 42 days; microbiological compositions were examined at 33 (H), 40 (H+P) and 42 (H) weeks
- Laying performances were examined for 201 days
- Statistical significances of differences were determined by using TTEST (SAS/STAT, 9.2, 2008).

Results

- Cumulative mortality at 10 days was lower in EXP (3,3%) than in CON group (10,9%)
- Experimental animals were heavier than control (at 28 days of age 101.6 g, 94,5 g resp;)
- Birds given both addition laid heavier (P=0.04) eggs and showed a higher (P=0.03) laying performance 165 and 151 eggs/201 days) than control
- Microbiological composition of intestinal contents confirm the absence from clostridia in the birds given Humac+Propoul (under 10KTJ/g) - lactobacillus are the competitive microflora to clostridia

Table 1: Live body weight differences between groups

| Age (days) | Group | N | $\bar{x} \pm SD$ | Probability |
|------------|-------|----|------------------|-------------|
| 28 | CON | 56 | 94,5 ± 2,04 | P=0,011 |
| 28 | EXP | 57 | 101,6 ± 1,86 | |
| 42 | CON | 55 | 144,4 ± 2,41 | P=0,193 |
| 42 | EXP | 56 | 148,4 ± 1,83 | |



Table 2: Laying performance of Japanese quail

| Characteristic | Group | | Probability |
|-------------------------|--------|--------|-------------|
| | EXP | CON | |
| N Female | 27 | 27 | P = 0.031 |
| Laying days | 201 | 201 | |
| Average laying (N eggs) | 165,48 | 150,78 | |



| Table 3: Average eggs weight | | | |
|------------------------------|-----|------------------|-------------|
| Group | N | Egg weight (g) | |
| | | $\bar{x} \pm SD$ | Probability |
| EXP | 278 | 11,924 ± 1,0319 | P= 0.040 |
| CON | 229 | 11,647 ± 0,9209 | |

