

Various dietary fat supplements modified simultaneously milk and muscle lipids in lactating cows

FBN / Wilhelm-Stahl-Allee 2 / 18196 Dummerstorf / www.fbn-dummerstorf.de

Angulo, J.², Olivera M.², Nuernberg G.¹, Dannenberger D.¹, Nuernberg, K.¹

¹Leibniz Institute for Farm Animal Biology, Germany; ²Faculty of Agricultural Science, University of Antioquia, Medellin, Colombia

INTRODUCTION

The lipid composition of animal derived foods can be affected by different factors as diet, breed, sex, growth, saison and age. Considering the fact that both, meat and milk, are subjected to human consumption, this study intended to characterise how dietary fatty acids are transferred into and accumulated in meat as well as milk of dairy cows.

ANIMAL EXPERIMENT

In total 18 German Holstein cows in their first lactation (92 days in milk) were included in a 10 weeks feeding experiment. The basal diet consisted of silage, silage, hay, maize grass concentrate, and mineral feed mixture. SAT group was supplemented with palm fat (3.1 % of the basal diet DM), LINA group with linseed oil (2.7 % DM) and algae rich in DHA (0.4 % DM), and SUNA group with sunflower oil (2.7 % DM) and algae rich in DHA (0.4 % DM) to the same basal ration conforming the total mixed which was isoenergetic and ratio isonitrogenous calculated.

RESULTS

Exogenous n-3 fatty acid supply (LINA) caused significant higher concentration of C18:3n-3 (%) in milk and intramuscular fat. Exogenous n-6 FA supply (SUNA) only increased n-6 fatty acids (%) in milk. Feeding plant/algae PUFA to dairy cows decreased significantly the content of total saturated FA in milk. Contrary to this there was a tendency for a higher deposition of SFA in muscle fat. The percentage of C18:1 trans-11 and the sum of the total C18:1*trans* isomers in muscle and milk were significant higher with plant oil/algae feeding. SUNA feeding caused a significant higher CLA*trans*-10, *cis*-12 and CLA*trans*-7, *cis*-9 percentage in both tissues. SAT SAT ■ LINA 1.6 ■ LINA SUNA SUNA 1,4 1,2 0,8 0,6 0,4 0,2 Muscle CLAtr10,c12 Muscle CLAtr7,c9 Muscle CLAtr11.tr13 Muscle CLAtr9.tr11 Milk CLAtr11,tr13 Milk CLAtr10.c12



METHODS

Fat was extracted from *longissimus* muscle (MLD) using chloroform/methanol (2:1) and from milk using *n*-hexane/isopropanol (3:2) by Ultra Turrax homogenization at room temperature. The fatty acid methyl esters have been prepared with Na-methoxide and followed by BF3/methanol [J. Angulo et al. (2012) J Sci Food Agric, 92, 2968-2974].

80 ■SAT ■LINA 70 ■ SUNA



Figure 1b: CLAtr11,tr13 and CLAtr9,tr11 (%) in muscle and milk (HPLC)

> Table 4: Milk fatty acid composition (%) of cows fed different fat supplements

	SAT	LINA	SUNA		SAT	LINA	SUNA
Fatty acids	LSM	LSM	LSM	Fatty acids	LSM	LSM	LSM
Muscle fat	3.0	4.1	3.6	Milk fat (%)	3.8 ^a	2.3 ^b	2.2 ^b
C12:0	0.05	0.07	0.07	C12:0	3.8 ^a	2.5 ^b	2.3 ^b
C14:0	2.3	3.1	3.0	C14:0	12.6 ^a	10.7 ^b	9.9 ^b
C16:0	27.6	29.6	31.4	C16:0	38.0 ^a	25.6 ^b	24.2 ^b
C16:1	3.9	4.4	4.2	C16:1	1.8	1.7	2.0
C18:0	14.3	12.3	12.7	C18:0	8.1	9.9	10.0
ΣC18:1 <i>trans</i>	1.1 ^a	2.8 ^b	3.5 ^b	ΣC18:1 <i>trans</i>	3.9 ^a	8.9 ^a	12.4 ^c
C18:1 <i>tr</i> -11	0.6 ^a	1.1 ^{a,b}	1.9 ^b	C18:1 <i>tr</i> -11	1.5 ^a	4.7 ^b	6.9 ^c
C18:1 <i>cis-</i> 9	35.9 ^a	33.5 ^{a,b}	29.9 ^b	C18:1 <i>cis-</i> 9	19.5 ^a	26.2 ^b	24.9 ^b
C18:2 <i>n</i> -6	3.7	2.5	3.4	C18:2 <i>n</i> -6	2.0 ^a	2.1 ^a	3.8 ^b
C18:3 <i>n-</i> 3	0.8 ^a	1.0 ^b	0.6 ^a	C18:3 <i>n</i> -3	0.4 ^a	1.2 ^b	0.5 ^a
C20:4 <i>n</i> -6	1.5 ^a	0.7 ^b	0.8 ^{a,b}	C20:4 <i>n</i> -6	0.2 ^a	0.09 ^b	0.09 ^b
C20:5 <i>n-</i> 3	0.4	0.3	0.3	C20:5 <i>n</i> -3	0.03 ^a	0.05 ^b	0.04 ^a
C22:5 <i>n-</i> 3	0.8 ^a	0.4 ^b	0.4 ^b	C22:5 <i>n</i> -3	0.1 ^a	0.09 ^a	0.08 ^b
C22:6 <i>n-</i> 3	0.06 ^a	0.3 ^b	0.4 ^b	C22:6 <i>n</i> -3	0.02 ^a	0.14 ^b	0.15 ^b
CLA <i>c</i> 9, <i>t</i> 11	0.3 ^a	0.5 ^b	0.5 ^b	CLA <i>c</i> 9, <i>t</i> 11	0.01 ^a	0.04 ^b	0.07 ^c
ΣSFA	45.8	46.6	48.7	ΣSFA	67.9 ^a	52.5 ^b	50.0 ^b
ΣMUFA	45.5	47.4	44.5	Σ MUFA	28.5 ^a	42.5 ^b	44.5 ^b
Σ <i>n</i> -3 FA	2.1	2.1	1.8	Σ <i>n</i> -3 FA	0.7 ^a	1.6 ^b	0.9 ^a
Σ <i>n</i> -6 FA	6.1	3.5	4.6	Σ <i>n</i> -6 FA	2.4 ^a	2.3 ^a	4.0 ^b
<i>n</i> -6/ <i>n</i> -3 FA	2.8 ^a	1.6 ^b	2.5 ^a	<i>n</i> -6/ <i>n</i> -3 FA	3.6 ^a	1.5 ^b	4.6 ^c

Table 3: Muscle fatty acid composition (%) of cows fed different fat supplements

Figure 1a: CLAtr10,c12 and CLAtr7,c9 (%)

in muscle and milk (HPLC)

	SAT	LINA	SUNA		SAT	LINA	S
Fatty acids	LSM	LSM	LSM	Fatty acids	LSM	LSM	L
Muscle fat	3.0	4.1	3.6	Milk fat (%)	3.8 ^a	2.3 ^b	
C12:0	0.05	0.07	0.07	C12:0	3.8 ^a	2.5 ^b	
C14:0	2.3	3.1	3.0	C14:0	12.6 ^a	10.7 ^b	
C16:0	27.6	29.6	31.4	C16:0	38.0 ^a	25.6 ^b	
C16:1	3.9	4.4	4.2	C16:1	1.8	1.7	
C18:0	14.3	12.3	12.7	C18:0	8.1	9.9	
Σ C18:1 <i>trans</i>	1.1 ^a	2.8 ^b	3.5 ^b	ΣC18:1 <i>trans</i>	3.9 ^a	8.9 ^a	
C18:1 <i>tr</i> -11	0.6 ^a	1.1 ^{a,b}	1.9 ^b	C18:1 <i>tr</i> -11	1.5 ^a	4.7 ^b	
C18:1 <i>cis-</i> 9	35.9 ^a	33.5 ^{a,b}	29.9 ^b	C18:1 <i>cis-</i> 9	19.5 ^a	26.2 ^b	
C18:2 <i>n</i> -6	3.7	2.5	3.4	C18:2 <i>n</i> -6	2.0 ^a	2.1 ^a	
C18:3 <i>n-</i> 3	0.8 ^a	1.0 ^b	0.6 ^a	C18:3 <i>n</i> -3	0.4 ^a	1.2 ^b	
C20:4 <i>n</i> -6	1.5 ^a	0.7 ^b	0.8 ^{a,b}	C20:4 <i>n</i> -6	0.2 ^a	0.09 ^b	
C20:5 <i>n-</i> 3	0.4	0.3	0.3	C20:5 <i>n</i> -3	0.03 ^a	0.05 ^b	
C22:5 <i>n-</i> 3	0.8 ^a	0.4 ^b	0.4 ^b	C22:5 <i>n</i> -3	0.1 ^a	0.09 ^a	
C22:6 <i>n-</i> 3	0.06 ^a	0.3 ^b	0.4 ^b	C22:6 <i>n</i> -3	0.02 ^a	0.14 ^b	
CLA <i>c</i> 9, <i>t</i> 11	0.3 ^a	0.5 ^b	0.5 ^b	CLA <i>c</i> 9, <i>t</i> 11	0.01 ^a	0.04 ^b	
ΣSFA	45.8	46.6	48.7	ΣSFA	67.9 ^a	52.5 ^b	
Σ MUFA	45.5	47.4	44.5	Σ MUFA	28.5 ^a	42.5 ^b	
Σ <i>n</i> -3 FA	2.1	2.1	1.8	Σ <i>n</i> -3 FA	0.7 ^a	1.6 ^b	
Σ <i>n</i> -6 FA	6.1	3.5	4.6	Σ <i>n</i> -6 FA	2.4 ^a	2.3 ^a	
<i>n</i> -6/ <i>n</i> -3 FA	2.8 ^a	1.6 ^b	2.5 ^a	<i>n</i> -6/ <i>n</i> -3 FA	3.6 ^a	1.5 ^b	



Figure 2b: Palmitic acid and SFA in MLD and milk

 $(a,b - denote significant differences between groups at P \le 0.05)$

Table 1:	Meat quality	
SAT	LINA	SUNA

LSM_{SE}

LSM_{SE}

 Table 2 : Performance and milk composition

	SAT	LINA	SUNA
	LSM _{SE}	LSM _{SE}	LSM _{SE}
Live weight at slaughter (kg)	575.8 ₂₅	570.7 ₂₅	612.5 ₂₅
DM offer (kg/cow/d)	21.2	21.2	21.2
Milk yield (kg/d) Ø 10 weeks	29.9 _{1.6}	32.5 _{1.6}	34.7 _{1.6}
Lactose (%) Ø 10 weeks	4.8 _{0.05} ^a	5.0 _{0.05} ^b	4.9 _{0.05}
Milk fat (%) Ø 10 weeks	3.8 _{0.1} ^a	2.3 _{0.1} ^b	2.2 _{0.1} ^b
Milk protein (%) Ø 10 weeks	3.3 _{0.1}	3.1 _{0.1}	3.1 _{0.1}

pH _{24h}	5.6 _{0.03}	5.6 _{0.03}	5.6 _{0.03}
Colour L*	29.2 _{1.0}	27.5 _{1.0}	29.8 _{1.0}
Protein (%)	21.9 _{0.3}	21.6 _{0.3}	21.8 _{0.3}
Fat (%)	3.0 _{0.8}	4.1 _{0.8}	3.6 _{0.8}
Ash (%)	1.0 _{0.02}	1.0 _{0.02}	1.0 _{0.02}
Shear force (kg/cm ²)			
after 14 d storage	6.7 _{0.6}	6.7 _{0.6}	5.1 _{0.6}

LSM_{SE}

CONCLUSION

The study indicates improvements of meat and milk lipid profiles upon dietary fatty acid supplementation without substantially affecting meat quality traits. Effects were tissue-specific different.



LEIBNIZ INSTITUTE FOR FARM ANIMAL BIOLOGY

64th Annual Meeting of the EAAP

Nantes, France, August 26-30, 2013

This work was supported by the European Commission (Project FOOD-CT-2006-6241).