



# Health monitoring concepts for long-term improvement of dairy health

K.F. Stock<sup>1</sup>, D. Agena<sup>1</sup>, R. Schafberg<sup>2</sup>, F. Reinhardt<sup>1</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Vereinigte Informationssysteme Tierhaltung w.V. (vit), Verden / Aller, Germany
- <sup>2</sup> Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenberg, Institute of Agricultural and Nutritional Sciences, Halle / Saale, Germany

Email: friederike.katharina.stock@vit.de





#### Background

- dairy health and welfare worldwide in the focus of research
- health monitoring in dairy cattle
  - benefitting from available standards for recording and analysis of health data
  - challenged by economic considerations and general conditions of livestock keeping (data security issues)
- different approaches (sources of health data) in several independent regional projects in Germany
  - $\rightarrow$  unsatisfactory use of the potential of health monitoring





#### Objective

- development of an integrated system for routine health monitoring in German dairy farms: <u>step 1</u>
  - different recording systems (herd management software)
  - heterogeneous farm structures
  - varying farmer experience with routine health documentation

preliminary model based on two regional projects:

- Lower Saxony (Osnabrück) → GKuh
- Thuringia  $\rightarrow$  THU

#### step 2

integration of further sources of health data (treatment data / veterinary software)





## **Outline of regional projects**

Key figure	GKuh	THU	
Region in Germany	Northwest (Osnabrück)	Mideast (Thuringia)	
Starting point	No existing health- recording system → installation with intense on-farm support	Long-term experience in electronic documentation (incl. health data) $\rightarrow$ some adjustments	
Total no. of farms	63	23	
Farm size (average no. of cows per farm 2011/2012)	103 (max. 567)	768 (max. 1.710)	
Time horizont	01.01.2010 - 30.06.2013	01.01.2009 - 30.06.2013	
Total no. of females (all farms, whole period)	21,395 incl. 12,788 cows	61,703 incl. 37,342 cows	
Total no. of health events (first diagnoses of disease)	27,995	275,588	
No. of animals with e 1 diagnosis record	9,093	44,423	





## Routine health data analyses (I)

- plausibility checks with delivery of error protocols

   → control of data quality
  - <u>management-oriented statistical analyses</u>  $\rightarrow$  health reports (quarterly, annual summaries), health-/prophylaxis-oriented action lists (monthly)
    - distribution of diagnoses by age and disease group
    - time course of proportions of animals without diagnoses (vertical statistics)
    - respective summary statistics from the matched group of farms (horizontal figures)



different formats / sets of analyses as requested by the farmers





## Routine health data analyses (II)

#### breeding-oriented statistical analyses

- estimation of genetic parameters & prediction of breeding values for health traits
- pilot implementations in the context of regional projects
- promising results of comparative analyses across regional projects

significant positive genetic correlations for all health traits: metabolic diseases, purulent claw diseases (> 0.95) > reproductive disorders, mastitis (e 0.7) > non-purulent claw diseases





## Routine health data analyses (II)

#### breeding-oriented statistical analyses

- estimation of genetic parameters & prediction of breeding values for health traits
- pilot implementations in the context of regional projects
- promising results of comparative analyses across regional projects
  ↔ step towards national genetic evaluation for health traits (across regions) to be taken
  - $\rightarrow$  preliminary joint analyses of data from GKuh & THU

**single-trait repeatability linear animal model** (variance component estimation with REML / VCE6, genetic evaluation with BLUP / PEST)  $y_{ijkl} = \mu + PAR_i + hys_j + pe_k + a_k + e_{ijkl}$ with  $PAR_i = fixed$  effect of parity class,  $hys_j = random$  effect of herd X year-season of calving,  $pe_k = random$  permanent environmental effect of the animal,  $a_k = random$  additive genetic effect of the animal,  $e_{ijkl} = random$  residual



8

#### **Distribution of bulls**

- in each of the projects many bulls with mostly few daughters (1,151 in GKuh, 1,633 in THU)
- combined data set (GKuh+THU): 2,467 bulls
  - 169 bulls with e 50 daughters





#### **Distribution of bulls**

 in each of the projects many bulls with mostly few daughters (1,151 in GKuh, 1,633 in THU)

- combined data set (GKuh+THU): 2,467 bulls
  - 169 bulls with e 50 daughters
  - 317 bulls with daughters in GKuh+THU

Offspring	N	Region / Herds		Daughters	
distribution		project	mean (max.)	mean (max.)	
GKuh only	834	GKuh	2.8 (36)	4.6 (172)	
THU only	1,316	THU	3.2 (20)	15.9 (804)	
GKuh+THU	317	GKuh	6.2 (55)	22.9 (1,251)	
		THU	3.6 (22)	37.9 (1,292)	
		GKuh+THU	9.8 (69)	60.8 (1,581)	
Total	1,151	GKuh	3.7 (55)	9.7 (1.251)	
	1,633	THU	3.3 (22)	20.2 (1.292)	
	2,467	GKuh+THU	3.9 (69)	17.9 (1.581)	



#### **Disease frequencies & heritabilities**

Health trait	N	LIR [%]	h²	N <sub>daughters</sub> for r²=0.5 **
Early mastitis (-10 to 50 DIM)	76,123	20.2	<b>0.050</b> œ0.005	78
Late mastitis (51 to 305 DIM)	62,192	30.7	<b>0.092</b> œ0.008	42
Retained placenta	79,512	10.9	<b>0.040</b> œ0.005 *	98
Ovary cycle disturbances	62,164	8.4	<b>0.042</b> œ0.003	93
Ketosis	74,807	3.5	<b>0.026</b> œ0.005 *	150
Milk fever	81,197	4.3	<b>0.024</b> œ0.004 *	163
Abomasal displacement to the left	68,927	3.0	<b>0.034</b> œ0.004 *	115
Non-purulent claw diseases	61,129	26.7	<b>0.096</b> œ0.008	40
Interdigital hyperplasia / Corns	57,194	8.0	<b>0.172</b> œ0.012	22
Purulent claw diseases	63,781	41.3	<b>0.086</b> œ0.007	45
Claw ulcers	58,279	17.1	<b>0.104</b> œ0.008	37
Digital dermatitis / Mortellaro	58,632	17.8	<b>0.083</b> œ0.009	47
Digital phlegmon / Panaritium	58,078	12.6	<b>0.053</b> œ0.007	73

LIR = lactation incidence rate = no. of affected lactations / no. of affected+unaffected lactations; affected lactation = lactation with at least 1 diagnosis; unaffected lactation = at risk lactation without diagnosis;

\* transformed heritabilities (binary traits): 0.110-0.205 (SE 0.013-0.026); \*\* approximation as  $r^2 = n / (n + k)$  with  $k = (4 - h^2) / h^2$ 



11

#### **Distribution of EBV**

Health trait	Base bulls (N=1,439) *	All bulls (N=2,467)	
	std <sub>EBV</sub>	std <sub>EBV</sub>	range <sub>EBV</sub>
Early mastitis (-10 to 50 DIM)	6.37	5.65	77 - 121
Late mastitis (51 to 305 DIM)	6.92	6.37	74 - 124
Retained placenta	6.90	6.17	70 - 120
Ovary cycle disturbances	6.17	5.56	62 - 122
Ketosis	5.72	5.21	76 - 123
Milk fever	5.81	5.29	68 - 120
Abomasal displacement to the left	7.08	6.17	59 - 118
Non-purulent claw diseases	6.02	5.22	57 - 133
Interdigital hyperplasia / Corns	6.39	5.62	40 - 121
Purulent claw diseases	6.03	5.35	71 - 127
Claw ulcers	6.01	5.31	64 - 126
Digital dermatitis / Mortellaro	6.19	5.38	53 - 133
Digital phlegmon / Panaritium	5.52	5.07	73 - 121

\* base bulls = bulls with daughter information for Early mastitis, Late mastitis, Retained placenta, Ketosis, and Non-purulent claw diseases



#### **EBV distribution in Holstein bulls**





#### Conclusions

- heterogeneity regarding collection of health phenotypes (e.g. disease incidences influenced by extent of routine screenings) requiring thorough definition of comparison groups ⇒ <u>health reports</u> as valuable tool to improve herd management and dairy health in the short-term ("immediate reward")
- consistent results of genetic analyses with mutual benefit from joint use of health data
  - $\Rightarrow$  <u>EBV for health traits</u> as valuable tool to improve dairy health population-wide in the long-term ("lasting reward")



#### **Implications & Prospects**

- flexible, integrated concepts providing the basis for successful extension of health monitoring in dairy cattle
- challenges to be met by synergistic actions of the dairy industry
  - implementation of routine health data recording (data security, logistics, expert start-up support on farm)
  - data quality and continuity of data flow (motivation aspects, expert support on farm)
  - transfer of knowledge into practice (veterinary / management / breeding consultants)
- collaboration of experienced partners in a national initiative promoting routine health monitoring for improvement of health and longevity in dairy cattle





#### owners of participating farms





PD Dr. habil. Kathrin F. Stock Email: friederike.katharina.stock@vit.de Phone: ++49 - 4231 - 955 623

#### **IT Solutions for Animal Production**

Vereinigte Informationssysteme Tierhaltung (vit) w.V. Heideweg 1, 27283 Verden at the Aller, Germany



## **EBV** reliabilities (approximation)

No. of	EBV reliability *			
progeny (n)	h²=0.05	h²=0.07	h²=0.10	h²=0.15
5	0.06	0.08	0.11	0.16
10	0.11	0.15	0.20	0.28
15	0.16	0.21	0.28	0.37
20	0.20	0.26	0.34	0.44
25	0.24	0.31	0.39	0.49
50	0.39	0.47	0.56	0.66
75	0.49	0.57	0.66	0.75

\* approximation:  $r^2 = n / (n + k)$  with  $k = (4 - h^2) / h^2$ 

