#### Variance and Covariance of Actual Relationships between Relatives at One Locus

2013 PLoS ONE 8(2): e57003. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0057003



andres.legarra@toulouse.inra.fr

Luis Alberto Garcia-Cortés, Andrés Legarra, Claude Chevalet, Miguel Angel Toro,





EAAP 2013



## Why

Realized relationships = expected relationships + deviations





Some sibs are more equal than others !!

## (Invented) Full-sibs example

A <sub>ij</sub>	G <sub>ij</sub>
0.5	0.48
0.5	0.60
0.5	0.25
0.5	0.70
0.5	0.44
0.5	0.53

$$Var(G_{ij}) = Var(G_{ij} - A_{ij}) = 0.023$$

Can we compute this variance from pedigree *before* seeing the markers?

# Why

- Increased accuracy of genomic selection relies
  on using a more accurate **G** instead of **A**
  - But we don't know a priori how much will they differ
- Small errors in A cumulate with generations !!
   How many generations should one use?
- For both questions we need to know the extent of deviations in  $\mathbf{E}=\mathbf{G}-\mathbf{A}$

Variation in actual relationship as a consequence of Mendelian sampling and linkage

W.G. HILL<sup>1\*</sup> AND B.S. WEIR<sup>2</sup>

- Only consider two-individual coancestries and not a full pedigree
- Not a general formula but a collection of them
- They consider linkage, we consider one locus
- We provide a general formula for <u>all</u> pairs of individuals in a pedigree

## What

Realized relationships = expected relationships + deviations





 $= \phi_{ij}, ij - (\phi_{ij}) \longrightarrow \text{ regular coancestry}$ Karigl (1981) generalized relationship 9

#### Covariance

- The covariance is a simple extension:  $Cov(\Phi_{AB}, \Phi_{CD}) = \phi_{AB,CD} - \phi_{AB}\phi_{CD}$
- This means that the  $\Phi_{CD}$  is not independent of  $\Phi_{AB}$ , example:



C and D can not share alleles if A and B do not

### Generalized relationships

Karigl's 1981 generalized relationships

 $\phi_{AB,CD}$ 

is the probability that

two genes drawn from A and B are IBD

and at the same time

two genes drawn from C and D are IBD

Can be computed from pedigree

It will depend on the paths linking all four individuals





http://www.clker.com/clipart-185658.html



Inbreeding of Dash 2nd

$$F_{p} = 0,4297$$
  $V(F_{0}) = 0,2451$   
 $\Phi_{cs} = 0,4297$   $V(\Phi_{cs}) = 0,0922$ 

Coancestry of parents of Dash 2nd

$$F_D = \phi_{CS}$$
, yet the variances are different !!

## Extension to several loci

- If there were infinite loci, these variances are divided by  $\infty$  and become 0
- Genome is not infinite, is composed of repetitive "chunks" due to linkage and finite number of animals
- Divide by « Me = equivalent number of loci » (Goddard 2009 and many others)

$$Cov(\Phi_{AB}, \Phi_{CD}) = \frac{1}{Me} (\phi_{AB,CD} - \phi_{AB} \phi_{CD})$$

## Does it work?

- H. Wang, I. Misztal et al., in prep, chicken data, >4000 animals
- E=G-A
- empirical var(E) vs. predictions based on pedigree using  $Cov(\Phi_{AB}, \Phi_{CD}) = \phi_{AB,CD} - \phi_{AB}\phi_{CD}$

## Var(G-A) vs. our theory

observed vs. expected variance



- Pretty good fit
- From here, number of effective loci ~70

## Conclusions

- Var(E = G A) can be computed from pedigree and a populational parameter (*Me*)
- Starting point for more developments

## Acknowledgments

- project CGL2009-1327-C02-02 of the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovacion (Spain)
- ANR project Rules & Tools (France)
- action X-Gen of the SelGen metaprogram (INRA)
- partially supported by the platform bioinformatics Toulouse Midi-Pyrenees
- · LAGC made the drawings