



DOES LAMENESS IN SOW INFLUENCE HER REPRODUCTION ?

LÊ Hồng Thư PhD student (EGS ABG – II)

Supervisor- Nils LundeheimCosupervisor- Katja NilssonElise Norberg











FERTILITY





FERTILITY



CONFORMATION



FERTILITY



CONFORMATION



FFRTILITY



LAMENESS/

CONFORMATION







LAMENESS/ CONFORMATION









Fertility traits (1st and 2nd parity)

- Total born piglets (NTB)
- Born alive piglets (NBA)
- Stillborn piglets (NSB)
- Weaning to service interval (≤ 7 days) (WSI7)
- Weaning to service interval (≤ 30 days) (WSI30)

LAMENESS/ CONFORMATION





2. Materials and Methods- Analysis



- SAS v9.3 (SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC) Normal scoring method for conformation traits and NSB trait
- DMU package (Madsen and Jensen, 2007)

Multivariate analysis



Conformation trait

Fixed effect = hy_birth, gender Random effect= pen, litter, ID

Eq. [o move NTB1 NTB2]

3. Results – Descriptive statistical conformation

SLU



| Trait | Range | Best Mean | SD |
|-------------------|-------|----------------|-----|
| Old movement | 1-3 | 3 ← 2.8 | 0.4 |
| Old overall leg | 1-3 | 3 ← 2.6 | 0.6 |
| New movement | 1-7 | 4 ← 4.2 | 0.6 |
| Toes quality | 4-7 | 4 ← 4.2 | 0.5 |
| Front leg quality | 1-7 | 4 ← 4.1 | 0.8 |
| Rear leg quality | 1-7 | 4 ~ 4.4 | 0.8 |
| Standing under | 4-7 | 4 — 4.2 | 0.4 |
| New overall leg | 1-6 | 1 2.8 | 1.3 |
| | | | |

3. Results – Descriptive statistical fertility

SLU



| Trait | Mean | SD |
|-------------------------|--------|-----|
| Total born 1 | 12.3 | 3.3 |
| Total born 2 | 13.2 🖌 | 3.0 |
| Born alive 1 | 11.1 | 3.1 |
| Born alive 2 | 12.2 🖌 | 3.4 |
| Stillborn 1 | 1.1 | 1.5 |
| Stillborn 2 | 1.1 | 1.5 |
| Weaning to service 7_1 | 5.1 | 0.9 |
| Weaning to service 7_2 | 4.8 | 0.8 |
| Weaning to service 30_1 | 5.6 | 16 |
| Weaning to service 30_2 | 4.9 🖌 | 1.3 |

| 3. Results – Heritabilities <i>h</i> ² | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------|--|--|
| | Trait | h ² | (SE) | | |
| Conformation | Old movement | 0.05 | (0.00) | Low heritability h ² [0.02 – 0.16] | |
| | Old overall leg | 0.08 | (0.00) | | |
| | New movement | 0.03 | (0.01) | | |
| | Toes quality | 0.06 | (0.01) | | |
| | Front leg quality | 0.16 | (0.02) | | |
| | Rear leg quality | 0.13 | (0.01) | | |
| | Centre of gravity | 0.02 | (0.01) | | |
| | New overall leg | 0.08 | (0.01) | | |
| Fertility | Total born 1 | 0.07 | (0.01) | Low heritability h ² [0.05 – 0.11] | |
| | Total born 2 | 0.11 | (0.02) | | |
| | Born alive 1 | 0.06 | (0.01) | | |
| | Born alive 2 | 0.08 | (0.02) | | |
| | Stillborn 1 | 0.07 | (0.01) | | |
| | Stillborn 2 | 0.06 | (0.01) | | |
| | Weaning to service 7_1 | 0.09 | (0.02) | | |
| | Weaning to service 7_2 | 0.10 | (0.02) | | |
| | Weaning to service 30_1 | 0.05 | (0.01) | | |
| | Weaning to service 30_2 | 0.07 | (0.01) | | |

3. Results – Genetic correlation r_a



| | Old movement | Toes quality | Front leg quality | Standing under |
|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Total born 1 | 0.19 | -0.15 | 0.07 | - 0.56 |
| Total born 2 | 0.24 | -0.25 | 0.17 | - 0.68 |
| Born alive 1 | 0.24 | -0.36 | -0.09 | - 0.62 |
| Born alive 2 | 0.34 | -0.26 | 0.09 | - 0.77 |
| Stillborn 1 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.29 | -0.02 |





- Conformation traits: close to best score (except new overall leg score)
- **Low heritability** for both conformation and fertility traits (0.02–0.16)
- **Genetic correlation**

 - Better 'old' movement ----- Higher total and alive piglets
 Shorter return to heat after weaning

 - Higher born alive and lower stillborn Better toes quality
 - **Standing under** Lower total and alive piglets



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT







LÊ Hồng Thư PhD student (EGS ABG – II)

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION !