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## Aim of the work

$\checkmark$ High milk production is obtained by increasing the dietary concentrate percentage
$\checkmark$ This can induce subacute ruminal acidosis (SARA)
$\checkmark$ There is a high between-animals variability
$\checkmark$ There is a relationship between SARA and feeding behavior

The aim of the work was to study the evolution of the fractional intake rate in mid-lactation goats by either increasing or decreasing the concentrate percentage.

## Material and Methods

Control diet (52.5 \% concentrate)
(12 goats)

Transition: 5 days


Low concentrate diet (35 \%) (6 goats)


High concentrate diet (70 \%)
(6 goats)


TMR ad libitum (2 feed allowances per day)

## Experimental device at Grignon



The weight of the feed was recorded every 2 min 22 h per day

## Definition of fractional intake rate

Proportion of dry matter eaten 90 min after the afternoon feed allowance which corresponded to two thirds of the daily feed allowance (P90).

## Dry matter intake evolution (pm Feed allowance )



Evolution of P90 with the change in concentrate percentage (example for one goat receiving the L experimental diet)



Evolution of P90 with the change in concentrate percentage


Evolution of P90 with the change in concentrate percentage


## Conclusion

Feeding behavior estimated by the P90 index was quickly modified after the change in dietary concentrate percentage.
With a lower concentrate percentage, P90 decreased because forage intake rate is lower than concentrate one.
With a higher concentrate percentage, some of the goats increased their fractional intake rate, but this increase was followed by a greater decrease in fractional intake rate probably in order to face a subacute ruminal acidosis.

More work is needed to better understand the destructuration of feeding behavior in goats when facing subacute ruminal acidosis.
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## Diets composition

```
Roughage part:
\checkmark ~ D e h y d r a t e d ~ a l f a l f a ~ ( 4 5 ~ \% )
\checkmark ~ G r a s s ~ h a y ~ ( 5 5 ~ \% ) ,
```

Concentrate part:
$\checkmark$ Compound feed (70 \%)
$\checkmark$ Pressed sugar beet pulp (30 \%)

| \% DM | Diet L (35 \% conc) | Diet H (70 \% conc) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| CP | 16.7 | 17.7 |
| NDF | 40.9 | 36.0 |
| ADF | 24.4 | 20.1 |
| ADL | 3.9 | 3.0 |
| Starch | 4.4 | 9.7 |
| Ash | 8.5 | 8.4 |
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