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## The question

- LD between a pair of markers $\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$ as measured by $\mathrm{r}^{2}$, depends on the frequency of the four haplotypes: $\boldsymbol{A B}, \boldsymbol{a b}, \boldsymbol{A b}, \boldsymbol{a B}$.
In this study we ask:
- What are the aspects of haplotype distribution that determine LD?
- How does the distribution of haplotype frequencies develop as a function of the evolutionary history of the site, and the distance separating the marker pair?


## Notation

- For generality, we assign
- Haplotype $\boldsymbol{A B}$ to the most frequent haplotype,
- Haplotype $\boldsymbol{a b}$ to the double alternative to $\boldsymbol{A B}$,
- Haplotype $\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{b}$, to the least frequent haplotype,.
- Haplotype $\boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{B}$, to the remaining haplotype.


## Haplotype frequencies according to $r^{2}$ values

- For each of target $r^{2}=0.1,0.2, \ldots .1 .0$, ten marker pairs presenting $r^{2}$ value centered at the target were chosen ( 100 total marker pairs), and average haplotype frequencies $f(A B), f(a b), f(a B)$ and $f \boldsymbol{f} \boldsymbol{b}$ ) were calculated for each $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ value.

Table 1. Haplotype frequencies by $\mathrm{r}^{2}$.

| $r^{2}$ | $f(A B)$ | $f(a b)$ | $f(a B)$ | $f(A b)$ | $f(A B+a b)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | 0.476 | 0.333 | 0.155 | 0.037 | 0.809 |
| 0.2 | 0.524 | 0.268 | 0.184 | 0.024 | 0.792 |
| 0.3 | 0.518 | 0.255 | 0.212 | 0.208 |  |
| 0.4 | 0.581 | 0.248 | 0.143 | 0.015 | 0.773 |
| 0.5 | 0.595 | 0.274 | 0.125 | 0.006 | 0.829 |
| 0.6 | 0.572 | 0.323 | 0.087 | 0.017 |  |
| 0.7 | 0.708 | 0.229 | 0.051 | 0.012 | 0.894 |
| 0.8 | 0.660 | 0.295 | 0.038 | 0.007 | 0.131 |
| 0.9 | 0.634 | 0.344 | 0.019 | 0.004 | 0.955 |
| 1.0 | 0.693 | 0.307 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.977 |

## Puzzle No. 1: Consistent order of frequencies for ab and aB

- In each case, without exception $f(a b)>f(a B)$.
- Thus, the general structure $f(A B)>f(a b)>f(a B) \gg f(A b)$ appears to be essential for significant LD.
- For the 777 K array, over $90 \%$ of pairs between adjacent markers ("adjacent marker pairs" - i.e., markers on the diagonal of the LD matrix) displayed the above haplotype distribution.
- Puzzle No. 1: How did such a high proportion of adjacent marker pairs come to have this very specific distribution of haplotypes?


## $r^{2}$ as a function of Haplotype frequencies

- In the range $\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.3$ to $1.0, \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{A B}+\boldsymbol{a b})$ increases consistently with $\mathrm{r}^{2}$. - $\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{A b})$ is very close to zero and does not vary consistently with $\mathrm{r}^{2}$, - $\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{B})$ decreases consistently with $\mathrm{r}^{2}$.


## $f(a B)$ is the main determiner of $r^{2}$

Table 2. $\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.5$ as function of haplotype frequencies. Individual values of 9 randomly chosen marker pairs presenting $r^{2} \sim 05$.

Within $\mathbf{r}^{2}=0.5$

| $\mathbf{r}^{2}$ | $\mathbf{A B}$ | $\mathbf{a b}$ | $\mathbf{a B}$ | $\mathbf{A b}$ | $\mathbf{A B}+\mathbf{a b}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.508 | 0.516 | 0.332 | 0.137 | 0.015 | 0.848 |
| 0.510 | 0.463 | 0.371 | 0.166 | 0.000 | 0.834 |
| 0.497 | 0.656 | 0.207 | 0.137 | 0.000 | 0.863 |
| 0.495 | 0.729 | 0.157 | 0.112 | 0.002 | 0.886 |
| 0.504 | 0.781 | 0.116 | 0.103 | 0.000 | 0.897 |
| 0.503 | 0.591 | 0.259 | 0.151 | 0.000 | 0.850 |
| 0.498 | 0.675 | 0.195 | 0.129 | 0.001 | 0.870 |
| 0.506 | 0.440 | 0.407 | 0.127 | 0.026 | 0.847 |
| 0.501 | 0.564 | 0.279 | 0.157 | 0.000 | 0.839 |

- $\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{A B})$ and $f(\boldsymbol{a b})$ individually vary widely for virtually identical $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ values, but their sum varies over very small range (0.834-0.897), and does not overlap that for other $r^{2}$ values.
- $\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{A b})$ values are very low, often zero, for virtually identical $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ values, (and also have same values for widely different $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ values, data not shown),
- $\mathrm{f}(\boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{B})$ varies over very small range ( 0.103 to 0.166 ), and values do not overlap those for other $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ values.
- Each level of $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ values has its own characteristic $f(\boldsymbol{a B})$ and $\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{A B}+\boldsymbol{a b})$, but $\operatorname{not} f(A B)$ or $f(a b)$ taken individually.
- Thus, $f(\boldsymbol{a B})$ appears to be the main determiner of $\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{A B}+\boldsymbol{a b})$, and of $\mathrm{r}^{2}$.

Figure 1. $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ plotted against dMAF.

## Puzzle number 2

- For the 777 K array, $55 \%$ of diagonal $r^{2}$ values $\geq 0.6$.
- For $r^{2}>0.6$, we need $f(a B)<0.100$; and $f(A b)$ close to zero.
- Puzzle No. 2: How did such a high proportion of marker pairs come to have these very special proportions of $f(a B)$ and $f(A b)$ ?
- We unravel these puzzles in the light of the evolutionary history of a closely linked marker pair.


## Unraveling the puzzles

- We start with a polymorphic site, $\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{a}$.
- Mutation of a nearby site, from $\boldsymbol{B}$ to $\boldsymbol{b}$.
- This immediately generates three Haplotypes:
$\checkmark \boldsymbol{A B}, \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{B}$, and $\boldsymbol{a b}$ (assuming the new mutation occurs in a chromosome strand carrying allele $a$ ).
$\checkmark$ Frequency: $\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{A B})>f(a B) \gg f(a b)$
$\checkmark \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{A b})=0$, until crossing over between $\boldsymbol{A B}$ and $\boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{b}$, which must wait for $f(a b)$ to reach appreciable values.
- Drift enters the picture.
$\checkmark$ Increase in $\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{a b})$ depends on genetic drift over evolutionary time.
$\checkmark$ With $f(A b)=0, f(b)=f(a b)$.
$\checkmark$ Choosing markers with minimum MAF $\rightarrow \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{b}$ has not been lost from the population, and is present at some minimum frequency.
- Selection of markers enters too!
$\checkmark$ Markers for the array are chosen to have MAF in the range 0.05 to 0.5 . Since $\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{A b})$ is either zero or very very low, this means that $\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{a b})$ is also in this range, with mean about 0.2-0.3.
$\checkmark$ We have defined $\boldsymbol{A B}$ as the most frequent haplotype, hence frequency will be $>0.5-$ about 0.6-0.8 (Tables 1 and 2 ).
$\checkmark$ Thus, between $\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{A B})$ and $\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{a b})$, there is not much left for $\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{a B})-$ hence the low values for $f(a B)$.
$\checkmark$ And that is how we end up with $55 \%$ of diagonal LD values $>0.6$.
- Recombination?
$\checkmark$ All of this holds only for very close markers, so that $\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{A b})$ remains very low.
$\checkmark$ Once markers are separated by greater distances, $\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{A b})$ becomes appreciable, and LD descends to very low values.
Figure 2. Diagonal LD against separation distance.



## Oh! Oh! Trouble?

- If high marker-marker LD is mainly due to selection for high MAF $\rightarrow$ marker-marker LD may not be representative of marker-QTL LD!
- Not necessarily!
- To contribute to genetic variation, QTL must also be at moderate frequencies.
- Thus, marker-marker LD may also represent marker-QTL LD.

Thus, in an amazing way it all comes together to provide genomic structures preadapted for Genome selection and GWAS.

