64<sup>th</sup>Annual Meeting of the European Association for Animal Production, August 26th – 30th 2013 – Nantes, France Session 52 – Feed efficiency in ruminants – abstract No. 17556 Corresponding author: luciano.migliorati@entecra.it



## Reduction of nitrogen excreted in dairy farms through the application of high N efficiency ration <u>Migliorati L.</u> and Boselli L.

Consiglio per la Ricerca e sperimentazione in Agricoltura, Centro per le produzioni foraggere e lattiero – casearie (CRA-FLC), sede distaccata di Cremona, Italy

## INTRODUCTION

- Agriculture is considered the main nitrogen source of pollution in water bodies (OECD, 2001);
- Environmental policy measures have been focused on water quality protection (EEC 91/676, MIPAF, 2006);
- At the moment the application of low impact animal breeding with low emissions of nutrients, N in particular, is considered the only way to improve N utilisation efficiency at farm scale.

#### Table 2. Feedstuffs and chemical composition of diets

and the second of a second	A PROPERTY OF A			
The second se	GP farm		PR farm	
Kg/cow/d	GP1	GP2	PR1	PR2
Corn silage	23.0	23.0	- 60-	and the second
Alfalfa hay	6.0	6.0	8.0	6.75
Meadow hay	1911 - 340		5.5	6.75
Corn – barley flaked mix	5.8	6.0	-	
Corn meal			8.0	8.0
Protein – mineral mix	5.5	5.2	4.0	4.0
Vitamin-mineral mix	0.2	0.2		
Yeasts	-	House and	0.1	0.1
DMI, kg/cow/d	23.6	23.6	21.7	21.6
CP, DM %	16.00	15.20	14.75	14.00
NDF, DM %	34.30	34.20	37.49	36.38
Starch, DM %	26.50	27.00	23.10	23.20
EN <sub>L</sub> , Mcal/kg DM	1.65	1.65	1.57	1.58
	And the second second			

(Crovetto and Sandrucci, 2010)

#### AIM

 Aim of this study was to demonstrate that dairy cows N excretion can be reduced by adopting feeding techniques based on the reduction of diet CP content without negatively affect animal performances.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

- The study was performed in two demonstrative dairy farms located in Grana Padano (GP) and Parmigiano Reggiano (PR) production areas (Table 1);
- GP and PR cows were used to compare two diets with different CP content provided in two consecutive years. In the 2<sup>nd</sup> year CP was 5 % reduced (Table 2).
- Experimental design was a pretest posttest with one group and two consecutive trials of one year each. Milk yield, fat and protein contents were determined once a month;
  Data were subjected to paired Student's t-test using IBM<sup>©</sup>SPSS<sup>©</sup> Statistics v.19;
  Nutrient balance was estimated according to ERM/AB-DLO (1999) and EMR (2001) methodology using N input / output flows to determine N excretion such difference between dairy cow N intake and milk N retention.

Table 3. Milk yield and quality traits **GP** farm **PR farm** ltem GP1 GP2 SE of the PR1 PR2 SE of the difference difference 35,5 35,6 0.43 Milk yield, kg/cow/d 27,4 27,1 0.89 Milk fat content, % 3,88 3,95 0.10 3,76 3,84 0.11 0.04 Milk protein 3,51 3,53 0.02 3,70 3,65 content, % Somatic cell count, 330 50.36 499 416 41,65 406 \*000/mL

**Table 1. Demonstrative farms profile** 

Item	GP f	arm	PR f	PR farm		
Location	Lomb	bardy	Emilia-R	Emilia-Romagna		
Breed	Frie	sian	Frie	Friesian		
Reference year	1°	2°	1°	2°		
Cows, n.	121	120	218	228		
Dairy cows, n.	109	108	185	191		
Dry cows, n.	12	12	33	37		
Milk yield, ka/cow/305 d	10.827	10.858	8.357	8.265		
Dry period, d	57	58	77	82		
Calving interval, d	433	431	486	486		
Calving-	172	182	210	208		
conception, d						
Milk yield,	1.292	1.288	1.862	1.877		
ton/year/farm	and the second		and the	the state of		

#### Table 4. N balance estimation

Item	GP farm			PR farm			
	GP1	GP2	SE of the difference	PR1	PR2	SE of the difference	
N intake, g/cow/d	605 <sup>a</sup>	574 <sup>b</sup>	4.98	513 <sup>c</sup>	484 <sup>d</sup>	2.47	
Milk N, g/cow/d	193	194	4.48	150	146	2.25	
N excretion,	412 <sup>a</sup>	380 <sup>b</sup>	1.90	363 <sup>c</sup>	338 <sup>d</sup>	1.42	

Monthly - test days were provided by Breeders Association (APA) of Cremona and Reggio Emilia province

## RESULTS

- In GP farm no difference was found in milk yield as well as in milk quality composition between the two years monitored;
  In PR farm milk fat content resulted higher in PR1 than in PR2 while no difference was observed in milk yield and protein content (Table 3);
- N excretion was reduced by 8 and 7 % respectively in GP and PR farm as a consequence of CP reduction in dairy cow diet (Table 4).



<sup>a,b</sup> within row with different superscript are significantly different P< 0.05  $^{c,d}$  within row with different superscript are significantly different P< 0.05

## CONCLUSIONS

 The reduction of CP diet had positive effects on environment improving nitrogen utilisation efficiency at farm scale without negatively affect dairy cows performances.

# AQUA

Achieving good water quality status in intensive animal production areas

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

LIFE+AQUA project – Achieving good water QUality status in intensive animal production Areas LIFE09/ENV/IT/000208 funded by European Commission area Environment http://aqua.crpa.it

