- Author: Eleni Tsiplakou
- Session:25
- Session Date: 26 of August 2014
- Abstract number: 18809

The effect of feeding level on goats milk fatty acids and on insulin and leptin concentrations

E. Tsiplakou , S. Chadio and G. Zervas

Department of Nutritional Physiology and Feeding, Agricultural University of Athens, Iera Odos 75, 118 55 Athens, Greece.

It has been reported that for a number of reasons, such as:

✓ Climatic conditions

✓ Seasonality of vegetation growth

✓ By –products availability

 \checkmark Physiological stage of the animal and

✓ Group feeding which is a common practice

 Small ruminants particularly in the Mediterranean basin, face periods of feed shortage (under-feeding) or surplus (over-feeding)

 Ruminants have adapted to face such under- and overfeeding periods with the involvement of some hormones such as insulin and leptin Overfed ruminants store fatty acids (FA) in their white adipose tissue which are released as free fatty acids during under-feeding to cover energy deficits

These FA are also used as a source of triglycerides
precursors during lactation

 Adipose tissue mobilization accounts for less than 10% performed FA in milk fat, except during periods of negative energy balance when their proportion increases substantially

 Many studies with dairy cows, have examined the effect of physiological underfeeding of high producing animals in early lactation, but to our knowledge, data for small ruminants on the effect of long term under- and overfeeding on milk FA profile are very scarce

The objective of this study was:

to determine the effects of long term under- and over- feeding on milk chemical composition and fatty acids profile, as well as on plasma fatty acids, insulin and leptin concentrations in goats

Experimental Design

- ✓ Twenty four dairy goats of native breed were used for the experiment
- ✓ Three months post partum the goats were divided into three homogenous sub-groups (n=8) balanced by body weight and milk yield
- ✓ Each group was fed the same ration, but in quantities which covered 70% (under-feeding), 100% (control) and 130% (over-feeding) of their respective energy and crude protein requirements
- ✓ The quantities of food offered to the three groups were adjusted at the 0, 12, 24, 31, 39 and 52 experimental day according to their requirements based on their body weight and milk yield

Table 1 Average daily feed intake (kg/goat) by goats under the three dietary
treatments throughout the experimental period

| | | | | Experime | ental day | | |
|------|-------------|------|------|----------|-----------|------|------|
| | Feedstuff | 0 | 12 | 24 | 31 | 39 | 52 |
| | Alfalfa hay | 0.63 | 0.55 | 0.52 | 0.50 | 0.49 | 0.48 |
| 70% | Concentrate | 0.63 | 0.55 | 0.52 | 0.50 | 0.49 | 0.48 |
| | Alfalfa hay | 0.90 | 0.87 | 0.84 | 0.78 | 0.75 | 0.73 |
| 100% | Concentrate | 0.90 | 0.87 | 0.84 | 0.78 | 0.75 | 0.73 |
| | Alfalfa hay | 1.16 | 1.22 | 1.14 | 1.05 | 1.00 | 0.98 |
| 130% | Concentrate | 1.16 | 1.22 | 1.14 | 1.05 | 1.00 | 0.98 |

 Individual milk and blood samples were collected from goats for fatty acids analysis at the 39th and 60th day from the beginning of the experiment

• The experimental data were analysed using the SPSS statistical package with a general linear model (GLM) for repeated measures analysis of variance with dietary treatments (T) and sampling time (S) as fixed effects according to the model: $Y_{iik}=\mu+T_i+S_i+(TxS)_{ii}+e_{iik}$

RESULTS

Table 2. Evolution of the body weight (mean±SEM) of goats at the three dietary treatments throughout the experimental period

| | Dietary treatments | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Experimental Day | Underfeeding (70%) | Control (100%) | Overfeeding (130%) | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 52.90±2.123 | 53.10±2.156 | 53.33±320 | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | 49.06±2.422 | 52.63±2.422 | 53.63±2.422 | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | 48.31±2.196 | 52.75±2.196 | 54.00±2.196 | | | | | | | | |
| 31 | 47.88 ª ±2.328 | 52.63 ^{ab} ±2.328 | 55.63 ^b ±2.328 | | | | | | | | |
| 39 | 46.81 ^a ±2.162 | 51.06 ^{ab} ±2.162 | 54.56 ^b ±2.162 | | | | | | | | |
| 52 | 47.69 ª ±2.263 | 51.94 ^{ab} ±2.263 | 55.00 ^b ±2.263 | | | | | | | | |
| 61 | 47.25 ª ±2.131 | 52.63 ^{ab} ±2.131 | 55.63 ^b ±2.131 | | | | | | | | |

Table 3 Mean fat corrected milk yield (±SEM) (kg/day) and milkchemical composition (%) of goats at the three dietary treatmentsthroughout the experimental period

| | | Dietary tre | Dietary treatments | | | | | | |
|---------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Experimental day | 70% | 100% | 130% | | | | | |
| Fat corrected | 0 | 0.70±0.083 | 0.70±0.083 | 0.70±0.083 | | | | | |
| | 12 | 0.67±0.090 | 0.64±0.090 | 0.78±0.090 | | | | | |
| milk yield | 24 | 0.42±0.078 | 0.49±0.078 | 0.64±0.078 | | | | | |
| (4 %) | 31 | 0.34 ª ±0.077 | 0.44 ^{ab} ±0.077 | 0.65 ^b ±0.077 | | | | | |
| | 39 | 0.36 ± 0.081 | 0.43±0.081 | 0.55 ± 0.081 | | | | | |
| | 52 | 0.34±0.061 | 0.45±0.061 | 0.51±0.061 | | | | | |

Table 3 (cont.)

| | | | Dietary treatments | |
|-----|------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| | Experimental day | 70% | 100% | 130% |
| | 0 | 5.41±0.269 | 5.00±0.269 | 5.35±0.269 |
| | 12 | 5.83±0.406 | 5.09±0.406 | 4.88±0.406 |
| Fat | 24 | 6.02 ^a ±0.396 | 4.95 ^{ab} ±0.396 | 4.12 ^b ±0.396 |
| (%) | 31 | 5.85 °±0.400 | 4.64 ^b ±0.400 | 4.01 ^b ±0.400 |
| | 39 | 6.04 ^a ±0.316 | 5.47 ^{ab} ±0.316 | 4.64 ^b ±0.316 |
| | 52 | 6.11 ^a ±0.307 | 4.65 ^b ±0.307 | 4.68 ^b ±0.307 |

Table 3 (cont.)

| | | | Dietary treatments | |
|---------|------------------|--------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| | Experimental day | 70% | 100% | 130% |
| | 0 | 4.60±0.070 | 4.73±0.070 | 4.59±0.070 |
| | 12 | 4.52 °±0.065 | 4.75 ^b ±0.065 | 4.82 ^b ±0.065 |
| Lactose | 24 | 4.24 °±0.082 | 4.61 ^b ±0.082 | 4.62 ^b ±0.082 |
| (%) | 31 | 4.34 °±0.068 | 4.59 ^b ±0.068 | 4.70 ^b ±0.068 |
| | 39 | 4.38 °±0.085 | 4.79 ^b ±0.085 | 4.75 ^b ±0.085 |
| | 52 | 4.27 °±0.083 | 4.49 ^{ab} ±0.083 | 4.60 ^b ±0.083 |

Table 3 (cont.)

| | | | Dietary treatments | S |
|---------|------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| | Experimental day | 70% | 100% | 130% |
| | 0 | 4.48±0.165 | 4.39±0.165 | 4.24±0.165 |
| | 12 | 4.75 ^{ab} ±0.144 | 4.85ª±0.144 | 4.33 ^b ±0.144 |
| Protein | 24 | 4.99±0.211 | 4.81±0.211 | 4.41±0.211 |
| (%) | 31 | 4.42±0.167 | 4.57±0.167 | 4.29±0.167 |
| | 39 | 4.25±0.169 | 4.31±0.169 | 4.20±0.169 |
| | 52 | 4.32±0.209 | 4.61±0.209 | 4.42±0.209 |

Table 4. The main fatty acids concentrations (% of total fatty acids) of total lipids of goats plasma between the dietary treatments and the two sampling times

| | C | ietary treat | ments (T) | | Sampling time (S) | | | | Effects | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------|-------------------|---------|-------|------|---------|-----|--|
| Fatty acids | 70 % | 100 % | 130% | SEM | 39 days | 60 days | SEM | Т | S | TxS | |
| C _{16:0} | 18.14 | 18.11 | 17.81 | 0.393 | 18.08 | 17.96 | 0.321 | NS | NS | NS | |
| C _{18:0} | 24.98 | 24.42 | 24.24 | 0.592 | 24.88 | 24.22 | 0.483 | NS | NS | NS | |
| <i>Cis-9</i> C _{18:1} | 16.38 ª | 15.28 ^{ab} | 14.66 ^ь | 0.672 | 15.13 | 15.75 | 0.548 | * | NS | NS | |
| C _{18:2n6c} | 25.56ª | 28.31 ^b | 28.60 ^b | 1.015 | 27.36 | 27.63 | 0.828 | 0.01 | NS | NS | |
| NEFA | 0.20 ^a | 0.07 ^b | 0.10 ^b | 0.029 | 0.17 | 0.09 | 0.022 | *** | NS | ** | |

Table 5. The mean (\pm SEM) concentrations (ng/ml) of leptin and insulin of goats blood plasma at the three dietary treatments

| | | Dietary treatments (T) |) |
|---------|-------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | 70 % | 100 % | 130% |
| Leptin | 1.59±0.113 | 1.44±0.113 | 1.57±0.113 |
| Insulin | 0.58ª±0.117 | 0.79 ^{ab} ±0.117 | 1.01 ^{bc} ±0.117 |

Table 6. The main individual fatty acids concentrations (% of total fatty acids) and fatty acids groups of goats milk at the three dietary treatments and the two sampling times

| | Di | etary trea | tments (T) | | Sam | Effects | | | | |
|-------------------|-------|--------------------|--------------------------|-------|---------|-------------------|-------|----|----|-----|
| Fatty acids | 70 % | 100 % | 130% | SEM | 39 days | 60 days | SEM | Т | S | TxS |
| C _{4:0} | 3.33ª | 3.12 ^{ab} | 2.91 ^b | 0.158 | 3.13 | 3.11 | 0.189 | * | NS | NS |
| C _{6:0} | 2.93 | 2.79 | 2.73 | 0.118 | 2.80 | 2.84 | 0.096 | NS | NS | NS |
| C _{8:0} | 3.31 | 3.11 | 3.26 | 0.152 | 3.18 | 3.27 | 0.124 | NS | NS | NS |
| C _{12:0} | 4.42ª | 4.76 ^a | 5.69 ^b | 0.404 | 4.77 | 5.15 | 0.330 | ** | NS | NS |
| C _{14:0} | 8.37ª | 9.25 ^{ab} | 10.29 ^b | 0.557 | 8.80ª | 9.81 ^b | 0.455 | ** | * | NS |

Table 6 (cont.)

| | D | ietary treat | ments (T) | | Sam | oling time | (S) | Effects | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-------|----------------|--------------------|-------|---------|----|-----|
| Fatty acids | 70 % | 100 % | 130% | SEM | 39 days | 60 days | SEM | Т | S | TxS |
| C _{16:0} | 25.65ª | 27.92 [⊾] | 25.31 ª | 1.056 | 25.25 ª | 27.34 ^b | 0.862 | * | * | NS |
| C _{18:0} | 9.07 ª | 7.45 ^{bc} | 8.37 ^{ac} | 0.684 | 8.65 | 7.94 | 0.558 | NS | NS | NS |
| <i>Cis-9</i> C _{18:1} | 20.45 | 18.1 | 17.7 | 1.533 | 19.14 | 18.36 | 1.268 | NS | NS | NS |
| VA | 1.35ª | 1.19 ª | 1.65 ^b | 0.112 | 1.46 | 1.33 | 0.091 | *** | NS | NS |
| CLA | 0.52 ^{ab} | 0.45ª | 0.63 ^b | 0.052 | 0.56 | 0.50 | 0.043 | ** | NS | NS |

Table 6(cont.)

| | Διατ | οοφικές Ει | πιδράσεις (| (T) | Δειγματοληψία (S) | | | Επιδράσεις | | |
|----------------|----------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-------|-------------------|-------|-------|------------|----|-----|
| Λιπαρά οξέα | 70 % | 100 % | 130% | SEM | 39η puśοg | 60ŋ | SEM | Т | S | TxS |
| υςευ | | | | | Πμερα | Πμερα | | | | |
| SCFA | 20.23 | 19.65 | 20.21 | 0.970 | 19.61 | 20.45 | 0.792 | NS | NS | NS |
| MCFA | 13.87ª | 14.98 ª | 17.08 ^b | 0.945 | 14.59 | 16.04 | 0.771 | ** | NS | NS |
| LCFA | 38.74 ª | 41.19 ^b | 37.95ª | 0.856 | 39.95 | 38.64 | 0.699 | ** | NS | NS |

Table 6(cont.)

| | | Dietary treatments (T) | | | | oling time (| (S) | Effects | | |
|----------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|-------|---------|--------------|-------|---------|----|-----|
| Fatty acids | 70 % | 100 % | 130% | SEM | 39 days | 60 days | SEM | Т | S | TxS |
| PUFA | 4.15 ª | 3.59 ^b | 4.17 ª | 0.273 | 4.10 | 3.84 | 0.223 | NS | NS | NS |
| MUFA | 23.02 | 20.58 | 20.59 | 1.593 | 21.75 | 21.04 | 1.300 | NS | NS | NS |

Conclusions

 Under- and over- feeding of goats leads to considerable alterations in milk chemical composition and fatty acids profile

✓ Both feeding levels resulted in significant increase in PUFA and decrease in LCFA concentrations in goat milk which has beneficial effects for human health

- ✓ The significant increase of C_{18:0} in the milk fat of the underfed animals could possibly be a good indicator of the feeding level and underlines the species differences compared to cows where C_{16:0} is the main FA which mobilized during under feeding conditions and
- Overfed goats had significantly higher insulin blood plasma concentration compared to underfed

Thank You for Your Attention