

Use of CO₂ as a breath marker

Jørgen Madsen

Department of Large Animal Sciences Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences University of Copenhagen DK -1870 Frederiksberg C. Denmark

jom@sund.ku.dk



EAAP 2014, Copenhagen , 25 - 29 August

When to use a marker?

A marker is often used when you are interested in a quantity but only a fraction is collected and analysed.

Well known examples:

- Cr₂O₃ in faeces for digestibility studies
- SF₆ in breath for methane production

Maybe better known in the future?

• CO₂ in breath for production of methane and other substances

Calculation:

Outbreath of the substance =

Concentration of a substance of interest in the breath (L/L)

/ concentration of the marker in breath (L/L)

* release of marker to breath (L/day) ((Can be SF6 or CO2))





Methane is high on the present agenda but other substances can be of interest

- Acetone (ketosis)
- NO (lung infection)
- (Phillips et al. 1999 mention more than 100 potential substances in human breath)

In the following I will focus on CO₂ and CH₄



Why can CO₂ be used as a breath marker?

Respiration experiments, - including measurements of CO₂, O₂ and to a limited extent CH₄ has been done for 100 years. The first Danish publication based on the measurements was by H. Møllgaard and A.C. Andersen in 1917.





The measurements were done to be able to measure/predict the energy content of feeds and the energy requirements of animals.



Why is CO₂ a useful marker?

The CO₂ production and hence the breath of CO₂ can be predicted with the same precision as the energy requirements of the animals.

We do not need to use special designed and harmful markers as SF₆.

The marker is always at available.

Measurements can be made on whole herds in addition to measurements on individual animals.





- Metabolizable energy all becomes heat
- CO₂ can be calculated from heat production

Relation between heat and CO₂ production

| Nutrient | Fat | Protein | Carbohydrate |
|------------------------|-----|---------|--------------|
| kJ / L CO ₂ | 28 | 24 | 21 |

| | Fat | Protein | Carbohydrate | kJ / L CO2 |
|--------|-----|---------|--------------|------------|
| Diet 1 | 5 | 20 | 75 | 21,95 |
| Diet 2 | 3 | 18 | 79 | 21,75 |
| Diet 3 | 4 | 16 | 80 | 21,76 |
| Diet 4 | 1 | 4 | 95 | 21,19 |

Example Wallaby (16 kg live weight)

Heat production for maintenance = 385 kJ/ kg^{0,75} (Munn and Dawson (2003)

Wallaby heat production: 16 kg^{0,75} = 8 8 * 385 = 3080 kJ/day Wallaby CO₂ production: 3080/21.75 = 142 | CO2/day

Measured CH₄/CO₂ ratio in the stable where the Wallabies were in Zoo = 0.020 (Corrected sample, see later)

Wallaby CH4 production:

 $142 * 0.020 = 2,8 | CH_4/day$



Respiration chamber for one wallaby



Close relation between the CH4/CO2 ratio and lost energy in CH4 -with modifications



Methane measurements are performed at different levels

The CO₂ as a marker is of special interest when:

- the whole herd, in a stable -, is going to be measured
- many individual animals are going to be measured



How to get the breath sample of a single animal?





UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN



How to get the values for the real pure breath sample of a single animal?

When we collect we only get a fraction of the breath, the rest is the surrounding air.

Example: Correction for concentration of breath in sample:

The sample consists of 4500 ppm CO₂ and 300 ppm CH₄ The stable air consists of 800 ppm CO₂ and 20 ppm CH₄ The breath consists of 3700 ppm CO₂ and 280 ppm CH₄ The CH₄/CO₂ ratio in the pure breath is: 280/3700 = 0.076

We use samples containing down to 5% of breath





Close relation between the CH4/CO2 ratio and lost energy in CH4 -with modifications



Conclusions

Several methods are used and are still being developed to measure the CH₄ production by ruminants.

The numbers they produce all have possible errors and some can be corrected for.

Example:

The CH₄/CO₂ ratio can be corrected for different milk production.

In general:

Methods where the individual feed intake is measured can only get values from relatively few cows. -- Too little for breeders?

Other methods needs to calculate the individual feed intake.

- -- Nutrition scientists know how best to calculate the feed intake!
- -- Breeding scientists know how to calculate genetic relations!

Cooperation will produce the best results.



Conclusions

Numbers for the quantitative CH₄ production is needed and the CO₂- methode produce that. The methods that use an imperical relation to the CH₄ production also need these reference values.

Specific for the CO2 method

CO₂ production has to be calculated. -- Nutrition scientists have the knowledge how best to do this.

The animals are in their natural environmant.

Investigations can be made on whole animal houses. (ruminants and other animals)

 Testing the effect of different feeds, breeds, species and production systems



Conclusions

The CO2-method should be used to investigate the opportunities to use different substances in the breath to monitoring dairy herds, especially on health.







UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN

pleasant trip tomorrow

Department of Large Animal Sciences

FTIR infrared analyser





C.K. Environment A/S 3500 Værløse Denmark www.cke.dk



Diurnal variation in CH4 and CO2 release

