



Effects of a sequential offer of hay and TMR on feeding and rumination behaviour of dairy cows

Florian Leiber, Johanna K. Probst, Anet Spengler Neff

florian.leiber@fibl.org

Introduction: background: grassland utilisation

Grassland-based ruminant production:

- › **A matter of global nutrient resource efficiency**
 - › less feed-food competition for arable land
 - › less need in protein concentrates, shifted across the globe
- › **A matter of ecological resources**
 - › Biodiversity
 - › Carbon sequestration
- › **At least grassland-rich regions are challenged to make better use of this resource (e.g. Switzerland)**

Introduction: background: concentrate reductions

- › **Switzerland: GMF («Grassland-based milk and meat production»)**
 - › **Min. 75% of the feed must come from grassland resources (including artificial grasslands within crop rotations). This means: maize silage + concentrates = max. 25% of the diet.**
- › **Switzerland: organic standards of BioSuisse**
 - › **Min. 90% roughages in milk production (calculated per herd and year).**
- › **But: differentiated feeding management options for concentrate-reduced production systems are lacking.**

Introduction: roughage based feeding management

- › **Which management options exist for a zero- or low-concentrate-strategy?**
 - › Production, storage and feeding of different roughage qualities
 - › Diversity on pastures?
 - › Performance-groups?
 - › TMR or separate offers?
 - › How to increase roughage intake by feeding management?
- › **Which parameters do we measure to assess feeding situations?**
 - › Only feed quality and animal performance?
 - › Or additionally animal related parameters like feeding behaviour, faeces quality and BCS?

Introduction: Aims of the project

- › **Evaluating in one experiment:**
 - › **Roughage-based feeding management options**
 - › **concentrate reductions**
 - › **sequential offer of different roughages**
 - › **Animal-related assessment parameters**
 - › **Eating and rumination behaviour**
 - › **Faeces particle composition**

Methods

- › Organic dairy farm near Berne, Switzerland
- › **Swiss Fleckvieh (average performance: 7000kg milk / a)**





Methods

- › Organic dairy farm near Berne Switzerland
- › Swiss Fleckvieh (average performance 7000kg milk / a)
- › **Stanchion barn with separated feeding troughs**

30 JAHRE
MEYER

120012
Energie
E-Mess 1

W	P	St	W	W
1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10

W	P	St	W	W
1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10



Animals and experimental schedule

- › Organic dairy farm near Berne Switzerland
- › Swiss Fleckvieh (average performance 7000kg milk / a)
- › Stanchion barn with separated feeding troughs

- › **2 groups of 15 cows each**
 - › «Prot+»: 2.4 kg individually fed concentrates / cow / day
 - › «Prot-»: 0 kg individually fed concentrates
 - › Excluded animals: 3 in Prot+, 4 in Prot-

- › **2 experimental periods (21days each)**
 - › Period 1: TMR1 *ad libitum* for all cows
 - › Period 2: TMR2 *ad libitum* for all cows; 6.00 a.m.- 8.00 a.m. hay *ad libitum* for all cows

Materials: diets

› TMR1:

- › 0.3 maize silage,
- › 0.32 grass silage,
- › **0.21 hay,**
- › 0.09 dried alfalfa meal,
- › 0.05 potatoes
- › 0.03 soybean cake.

› TMR2:

- › 0.35 maize silage,
- › 0.38 grass silage,
- › **0.06 hay,**
- › 0.11 dried alfalfa meal,
- › 0.06 potatoes
- › 0.04 soybean cake




Materials: diet composition

	TMR1		TMR2		Hay		Concentr. 1	Concentr. 2
	Average	SD	Average	SD	Average	SD		
Crude protein [g/kg DM]	140	±4.5	133	±3.0	172	±13.0	250	380
Acid detergent fibre [g/kg DM]	298	±30	293	±0.0	335	±20.5	80.7	77.2
NEL [MJ/kg]	5.65	±0.05	5.70	±0.00	5.40	±0.30	7.5	7.0

Materials: RumiWatch® chewing sensors



Materials: RumiWatch® chewing sensors




Materials: RumiWatch® chewing sensors




Methods: sampling

- › **Sampling weeks in days 17-21 of each period**
 - › **Individual feed intake hand weighed, daily**
 - › **Feed samples twice per week**
 - › **Milk yield and sampling: twice per week**
 - › **Chewing sensors: 96h per week (72h used for analysis)**
 - › **BCS and body weight: once per week**


Results: eating pattern during the day (group 1)




Results: eating pattern during the day (group 2)





Results: rumination pattern during the day (group 1)



Results: Dry matter intake of cows (kg DM / d)



Results: Crude protein and NEL intake of cows



Results: Eating time and activity changes

	Week 1		Week 2		P-values		
	Group Prot+	Group Prot–	Group Prot+	Group Prot–	Group	week	G*W
Eating time							
Eating [min/Tag]	376	376	400	395	0,987	0,183	0,995
Eating 6–14 h [min/h]	18,4	18,5	23,2	22,2	0,718	0,001	0,640
Eating 14–22 h [min/h]	19,5	18,8	18,8	19,0	0,863	0,915	0,510
Eating 22–6 h [min/h]	9,7	10,8	8,0	8,2	0,268	0,003	0,769
Activity change							
Activity change in 24 h [number/h]	7,86	7,76	6,35	5,94	0,764	0,027	0,830
Activity change 6–14 h [number/h]	8,27	8,37	7,30	7,10	0,956	0,153	0,861
Activity change 14–22 h [number/h]	8,75	8,50	7,22	6,75	0,682	0,038	0,903
Activity change 22–6 h [number/h]	6,06	6,76	4,53	3,98	0,844	0,016	0,447

Conclusion I

- › **Sequential offer of hay in the morning significantly influenced the eating pattern, increasing intake time during daytime and decreasing intake during night time.**
- › **Consequently the number of activity changes per hour decreased, especially during the night time.**
 - › **We assume that this is positively related with animal welfare and health.**
- › **Sequential offer of hay did not influence intake amounts (DM, CP, NEL)**
- › **Concentrate reduction did not influence feeding and rumination behaviour (but did influence nutrient intake and efficiency).**


Conclusion II

- › **Feeding and rumination behaviour parameters as measured with the noseband sensors proved to be sensitive to feeding management interventions.**
- › **These parameters appear to be useful to assess production- and welfare-relevant responses of cows to feeding management.**
- › **To deepen these aspects and to develop practicable tools on this basis, much broader farm-based data and experiment-based physiological research is needed.**

Thank you for your time and attention!
florian.leiber@fiBL.org



Introduction: roughage based feeding management




Results: intake and apparent digestibility

Period (P)	Period 1		Period 2		P-values		
Group (G)	Prot+ (n=12)	Prot- (n=11)	Prot+ (n=12)	Prot- (n=11)	G	P	G × P
Intake [kg/d]							
Total dry matter	20.5	20.4	20.0	22.0	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
TMR	18.1	20.4	13.7	18.0	<0.05	<0.001	0.125
Concentrates	2.43	0.0	2.43	0.0	-	-	-
Extra hay	0.0	0.0	3.79	3.95	n.s.	-	-
Crude protein	3.25	2.85	3.21	3.08	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
NEL [MJ]	117	112	115	123	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
Apparent protein digestibility [%]	68.6	60.7	68.0	61.0	<0.001	n.s.	n.s.


Results: performance and protein efficiency

Period (P)	Period 1		Period 2		P-values		
Group (G)	Prot + (n=12)	Prot - (n=11)	Prot + (n=12)	Prot - (n=11)	G	P	G × P
Milk yield [kg/d]	25.1	22.0	23.9	20.9	n.s.	<0.05	n.s.
Milk protein yield [g/d]	770	684	758	684	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
Milk protein concentration [g/100g]	3.09	3.20	3.22	3.34	n.s.	<0.001	n.s.
Milk fat concentration [g/100g]	3.81	4.14	3.91	4.12	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
Milk urea concentration [mg/dl]	16.4	14.3	19.3	15.4	<0.05	<0.05	n.s.
Protein efficiency [g milk protein / g CP intake]	0.235	0.235	0.222	0.215	n.s.	<0.05	n.s.




Results: protein efficiency



Results: protein efficiency



Results: BCS, body weights, milk acetone



Materials: diet composition

	TMR1		TMR2		Hay		Concentr. 1	Concentr. 2
	Average	SD	Average	SD	Average	SD		
Crude protein [g/kg DM]	140	±4.5	133	±3.0	172	±13.0	250	380
Acid detergent fibre [g/kg DM]	298	±30	293	±0.0	335	±20.5	80.7	77.2
Lignin [g/kg DM]	41.9	±0.65	38.9	±1.35	48.0	±6.45	2.7	2.5
Crude Ash [g/kg DM]	91.6	±0.05	85.8	±0.10	90.2	±0.95	70	95
NEL [MJ/kg]	5.65	±0.05	5.70	±0.00	5.40	±0.30	7.5	7.0