

Non Genetic Factors Affecting Hunting Ability in Italian Maremma Scent Hound

University of Camerino – School of Biosciences and Veterinary Medicine - Italy

ITALIAN MAREMMA SCENT HOUNDS

ENCI 192/03/SB (7 July 2003)

- FCI Code: 900
- Group: Hounds and Blood Hounds
- Coat: short haired or rough haired
- In 2014: 161 rough haired and 2207 short haired, for a total of 2369 registrations.



Aim of the study

Assessment of the effect of five non genetic factors (sex, coat colour, judges, type of competition, field of trial) on wild boar hunting performance in Italian Maremma Scent Hounds.

Materials

 A total of 763 Italian Maremma Scent Hounds (488 males and 275 females) were studied.

 Creation of a database using 1147 results from boar hunting field trials held in North-Central Italy, between 2010 and 2011.

TRAITS CLASSIFICATION (I)

SINGOLO MUTA COPPIA	VERIFICA ZOO FIN PROV. di SERILA	IMP	icer	471	del 2	5/0	3/10	IGHIAL	E.
RAZZA S. MAREMMAVO	NOME DEI SOGGETTI	ZURRO	07717	. 6/1	67,5				
TURNO N°	TATUAGGIO	Ne 5054	2356		166				
	ILE DI RAZZA -TIMBRO OCE - OMOGENEITÀ Punti 50	39	38	V	419				
2) CERCA Maneggevolezza - Coll	egamento Punti 30	25	25	-	7				
3) ACCOSTAMENTO Spirito di muta 4) ATTITUDINE ALL'A		3 -	26		0461				-
Coraggio Punti 40 5) SEGUITA Sicurezza - Persistenza - Coesione Punti 50			39		3				
то	163	162		7					
	DI DEMERITO (Max 30)				2				
TOTA	LE PUNTI ASSEGNATI:			e e					
Relazione - Inizio Prova or	QUALIFICA					Ve	7		
hoesie adil	die de 5 pare Le e s'u breve Voce occost.	wie	es	1 00	n		ńee	oeer	è
ne poete de	loso, il lin			e pros		ed fil	s'es	isio	rer
chi userro	del Turico.			Fine Prova o		/			
Classifica				P		Giudice			

TRAITS CLASSIFICATION (II)

Trait	Score	Judging criteria
Physical skills	1 - 50	Morphology, intelligence, homogeneity (pair, pack)
Search	1 - 30	Intelligence and sagacity
Approach	1 - 30	Speed and methodical approach to the prey
Aptitude to bark at standstill	1 - 40	Cautious courage
Tracking of prey	1 - 50	Accuracy and perseverance in pursuing prey

FIXED EFFECTS

Fixed Effects	Levels
Sex (S)	2
Coat Colour (CC)	2
Field of Trials (Site of Trial + Climatic conditions) (T.T.)	70
Judges (J)	3
Type of competition	3

CLASSIFICATION OF CLIMATIC CONDITION

Observed Climatic Conditions (C.C.)							
Wind	Absent (1)	Present (2)					
Ground	Good (1)	Not Good (2)					
Sky	Clear (1)	Cloudy (2)					
Temperature	Pleasant (1)	Unpleasant (2)					
Precipitation	Absent (1)	Present (2)					
Total	10						

RESULTS Sex *vs* Search

Trait	Sex	Mean	Std. Deviation	N	Sig.
	Males	38,26	1,220	734	,001
Search	Females	38,02	1,225	399	
	Total	38,17	1,227	1133	

RESULTS Type of competition vs Search and Approach

Trait	Type of trial	Mean	Std. Deviation	N	Sig.
	Individual	38,37	1,180	374	,0001
Soorch	Pair	38,11	1,109	671	
Search	Pack	37,81	1,855	102	
	Total	38,17	1,225	1147	
Approach	Individual	25,09	1,144	374	,0001
	Pair	24,84	, 979	671	
	Pack	23,78	, 997	102	
	Total	24,82	1,080	1147	

RESULTS Field of trial vs Search and Approach

Trait	Field of trial	Mean	Std. Deviation	N	Sig.
Search	C.C. + S.T.	38,17	1,226	1142	,0001
Approach	C.C. + S.T.	24,82	1,074	821	,0001

RESULTS Judges vs Physical skills and Standstill barking

Trait	Judges	Mean	Std. Deviation	Ν	Sig.
Physical skills	1	35,34	1,638	67	,0001
	2	36,17	1,924	403	
	3	35,22	2,044	351	
	Total	35,70	2,008	821	
Standstill barking	1	38,93	1,579	67	, 0001
	2	38,23	1,544	403	
	3	38,72	2,050	351	
	Total	38,50	1,798	821	

Phenotypic correlation

Traits	Search	Approach	T. of prey	P. skills	S. barking
Search	1	-, 076*	-, 040	-, 100* *	-, 037
		, 030	, 253	, 004	, 288
Approach	-, 076*	1	, 571* *	, 071*	-, 063
	, 030		, 000	, 043	, 072
T. of prey	-, 040	, 571* *	1	, 187* *	, 037
	, 253	, 000		, 000	, 285
P. skills	-, 100* *	, 071*	, 187* *	1	, 015
	, 004	, 043	, 000		, 675
S. barking	-, 037	-, 063	, 037	, 015	1
	, 288	, 072	, 285	, 675	

^{*.} Significant at the .05 level. **. Significant at the .01 level.

Conclusions (I)

Coat colour had no effect on tested traits.

 Type of competition (individual, pair, and pack) had a significant effect on search (individual vs pair; P<0.05) and approach (all three types; P<0.05).

 A significant difference between males and females was observed only for search (P<0.001).

Conclusions (II)

- •Field of trial (boar hunting site + observed climatic conditions) influenced significantly search (P<0.05) and approach (P<0.05).
- •Judges factor was highly significant for physical skills (P<0.000) and standstill barking (P<0.000).
- •A high significant positive phenotypic correlation was found between tracking of prey and approach (P<0.005). Physical skills were positively correlated with both, search (P<0.005) and approach (P<0.005). Approach was highly positively correlated with tracking of prey (P<0.005). Standstill barking showed no correlation with any traits.

Future implications

• Our findings are the first step toward the genetic quantification of aptitudes in scent hounds.

 To assess the link between standard breed traits and functional aptitudes.

• To provide genetic criteria to breeders to achieve more stringent selective choices.

