APPLICATION OF GENOMIC SELECTION IN THE SPANISH ARAB HORSE BREEDING PROGRAM

Cervantes, I.¹; Meuwissen T.H.E.²

¹Department of Animal Production. Complutense University of Madrid, Spain. ²Department of Animal and Aquacultural Sciences, Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Ås, Norway.





66th Anual Meeting of the European Federation of Animal Science, Warsaw, Poland, 2015

Introduction

- Long generation interval.
- Suboptimal selection intensities.
- Low heritable functional traits.



Genomic Selection could be an advantage in horse breeding programs.

Arab Breeding Program

Parentage test

Identification and official description

GENETIC VARIABILITY MANAGEMENT

Inbreeding, gene flow, genetic lines

INDIVIDUAL GENETIC EVALUATION YOUNG RECOMMENDED HORSE FOR BREEDING Young Horse Competitions (Endurance, Eventing, etc.) and Conformation . 4-7 years old

PROGENY TEST

PROVEN STALLIONS AND MARES

(own performance and progeny test >7 years old)



40

10

20

30

FOALING

STALLIONS AND MARES BOOK

Genetic evaluation in 2014, Endurance races

Trait	Heritability
Ranking	0.10
Total time	0.10
Race time	0.11
Recovery time	0.15

Genetic evaluation in 2014, Endurance races

- Young Horses: After genetic evaluation 80% of males that could obtain the young genetic category were castrated animals.
- Horses >7 years old: After genetic evaluation 70% of males with genetic index>100 and accuracy ≥ 0.6 were castrated animals.



The objective of this study was to compare the genomic selection tools with traditional BLUP by simulation in Arab horse breeding program in Spain.





Material and Methods

- Simulated marker data
 - Thirty-two chromosomes.
 - Mutation rate= 2×10^{-9} .
 - An average of 83000 SNPs markers, MAF=0.03.
 - Probability to become a QTL =3.7%.
 - **\square** Historical population: N_e=200 and 2000 generations.
 - Founders of a subset of the Real pedigree of Arab Horse.
 - A simulated genotype for each animal included in the pedigree.

Material and Methods

The real pedigree contained 3613 Arab horses.



Simulated breeding values and phenotypes:
h²=0.1, 0.2 and 0.3.

Twenty replicates of each scenario were used.

F: Average Inbreeding, AR: Average Relatednes, ge: Average Equivalent Complete generations

Material and Methods

- A traditional BLUP genetic evaluation (BLUPpedigree):
 - 3613 animals in the pedigree.
 - 2000 with phenotypes.
 - 613 young animals without phenotype. Relatives from the previous set of animals.

BLUPF90 (Misztal, 1999)

Methods

BLUP-SNP evaluation

The training set included 2000 animals.

$$y_i = \mu + \sum_{j=1}^n x_{ij} \cdot SNP_j + e_i$$



The validation set included 613 animals.

$$GEBV_i = \hat{\mu} + \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{x}_{ij} \cdot \widehat{SNP_j}$$

- □ **GS3** (Legarra et al., 2014)
- Both methods were compared by accuracies between predicted and true breeding values.

Accuracies between true and predicted breeding values

h ² =0.1	BLUP-SNP	BLUP-pedigree	Difference
Training	0.54±0.01	0.49±0.01	0.05
Validation	0.44±0.02	0.36±0.02	0.08

Accuracies between true and predicted breeding values

h ² =0.2	BLUP-SNP	BLUP-pedigree	Difference
Training	0.66±0.01	0.60±0.01	0.06
Validation	0.54±0.01	0.43±0.02	0.10

Accuracies between true and predicted breeding values

h ² =0.3	BLUP-SNP	BLUP-pedigree	Difference
Training	0.73±0.01	0.68±0.01	0.05
Validation	0.60±0.01	0.48±0.01	0.11

Increase in accuracy using genomic selection



Increase in accuracy using genomic selection



Conclusions

- The structure in Spanish Arab Horse population is appropriate to attain reliable breeding values using genomic data.
- The application of genomic selection could help preselecting young males before being castrated increasing the selection intensity and therefore the genetic response.



Thank you



Acknowledgements

This work has been made within a collaboration agreement between the Spanish Arab horse breeders association (AECCA). We acknowledge the simulation program by Robin Wellman. This work was partially supported by UCM- EAA and NMBU grants.