



Modelling the management of heat stress in permanently housed dairy farms

E. Galán, E. Sanchis, F. Estellés, S. Calvet, A. del Prado

Session 54 - Climate and welfare smart housing systems EAAP Annual Meeting 2016, Belfast, UK



Objective

• How effective and practical are the optimisation approaches?

• In the framework of the ERANET+ project **optiBarn** our aim is to assess three potential impacts of climate change at **farm-scale** in dairy cow systems: welfare, economic costs and emissions

Fully

housed!

• Today: How we build the baseline scenario to introduce the climatic effects on dairy farms and apply in future barn modification effects?



Methods

- Review to quantify impacts of heat stress at farm scale:
 - Milk yield:
 - Meta-analysis: St-Pierre et al. (2003)
 - Regression models:...
 - Case study: Lack of data (only slot, DIM and milk yield, no parity, diet, etc)
 - Lengthen calving intervals
 - Meta-analysis: St-Pierre et al. (2003)
 - Regression models:...

Effect of THI in yield of permanent housed dairy systems



Methods

- Review to quantify impacts of heat stress at farm scale:
 - Milk yield:
 - Meta-analysis: St-Pierre et al. (2003)
 - Regression models:...
 - Case study: Lack of data (only slot, DIM and milk yield, no parity, diet, etc). Let's see some descriptive statistics
 - Lengthen calving intervals
 - Regional scale models: St-Pierre et al. (2003)
 - Farm scale regression models:...

We calculated a theoretical lactation curve per each slot using moving averages of 5 weeks and then calculated the daily difference between the theoretical and the real



We plotted the difference with the daily THImean of the correspondent day (weaker relation for 2 or 3 days before THI)



There is a trend for the entire farm...



...but other factors are missing...

(and more information)





I DON'T TRUST LINEAR REGRESSIONS WHEN IT'S HARDER TO GUESS THE DIRECTION OF THE CORRELATION FROM THE SCATTER PLOT THAN TO FIND NEW CONSTELLATIONS ON IT.

http://imgs.xkcd.com/comics/linear_regression.png

Methods

- Review to quantify impacts of heat stress at farm scale:
 - Milk yield:
 - Meta-analysis: St-Pierre et al. (2003)
 - Regression models:...
 - Case study: Lack of data (only slot, DIM and milk yield, no parity, diet, etc)
 - Lengthen calving intervals
 - Regional scale models: St-Pierre et al. (2003)
 - Farm scale regression models:...

Conception rate in warm vs. cold period



Average difference: 18.8%±10.9%*

8.8%± 3.8%

Conception rate depending on cow variability



Initial scenario: methods

- Daily THI: 1 year (2008) data from Valencia airport (AEMET)
- Yield loss, Days Open: St-Pierre et el. (2003)
- Monthly prices: 1 year (2008) for Spain (Milk market observatory, 2016)
- Simulated farm: 1000 cows, 12 slots.
- Scenarios

"BAU": without the module of heat stress

"Heat stress": including heat stress

"Seasonality": HS+ not breeding in jul, aug, sep to maximize milk yield and minimize breeding costs (data from González-Recio et al. (2004)

Initial scenario: southern Spain

Cubicles, straw bedding Nº cows:100 Followers: 65 Lactations: 2.6 Calving interval: 365 Milk average per cow: 9500 Surface excreta: 400 m2 Days slurry in storage: 45 Annual production in a 4 years base



Modification of lactation curves in HS scenario: example for a slot starting in March



Daily meanTHI Valencia, 2008



Farm production scenarios in southern Spain



Source: AEMET, St-Pierre et al. (2003) and Milk market observatory (2016)

Comparison of farm production scenarios in southern Spain



Results

- Introducing the influence of Temperature and Humidity in the model had an effect of decreasing 1.63% total milk yield and lengthen by 6 days the Calving Interval.
- Seasonality scenario produces annually 0.11% more milk than the scenario without seasonality because cows exposed to highest THI are either dry or in late lactation.
- Prices in the year selected dropped down during the year. Hence, the difference
 of annual farm income increases up to 0.50 % when combined with the effect on
 fat and protein percentages losses.
- The main difference between scenarios was the CH4-enteric, which was 20% lessin total in seasonality scenario.
- To create a cool environment the barn should be able to decrease by 4 points the outdoor THI in Jul and Aug. However we are not taking into account indoor conditions.

Conclusion

- The effects of heat stress effects are minimal when we applied St-Pierre et al. (2003) equations to our modelling. In this case St-Pierre et al. (2003) need to be adjusted with real data.
- Herd management techniques such as seasonality on calving could reduce the effects of heat stress in milk yield at farm scale. Hence, we need to design scenarios to simulate the combined effect these (and other) common techniques

Future research

- Apply the simulations for Optibarn scenarios. We need outdoorindoor climate relationship (Hempel et al. (forthcoming)) and indoor temperature-emissions relationship (Sanchís et al. (forthcoming))
- Develop an 'Uncertainties module' attached to the modelling framework
- Future scenarios are needed to predict the effects of climate change in farm economy, welfare, death rates and farm emissions
- Future scenarios may include also the drought effects in grasslands

