



# EAAP 2018

69<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of the European Federation of Animal Science


Dubrovnik, Croatia, 27<sup>th</sup> to 31<sup>st</sup> August 2018

## Session 26 Genetics & Genomics

Connectedness and application of breeding schemes for sheep & goats

# Genomic selection on Latxa dairy sheep

Itsasne Granado-Tajada & Eva Ugarte




EXKONOMIAREN GARAPEN  
ETA LEHAKORTASUN SAIA  
DEPARTAMENTO DE DESARROLLO  
ECONOMICO Y COMPETITIVIDAD



# Breeding program of Latxa breed (1984)

- Dairy sheep breed native from Basque Country and Navarre (Spain)




**LATXA BLACK FACED**  
from Navarre  
100.088 ewes  
985 flocks



# Breeding program of Latxa breed (1984)

- Dairy sheep breed native from Basque Country and Navarre (Spain)
- Selection based on pure breed
- Breeding goals:
  - Milk yield
  - Milk composition: fat and protein content
  - Udder morphology
- Annual genetic improvement of 3.5-4% in milk yield (Ugarte, 2017)





# GS in dairy sheep

**Lacaune** (Baloche et al., 2014; Barillet et al., 2014)

- Accuracy gain of GS over parent average
  - Milk yield: 0.15
  - Other characters: 0.10-0.20
- Reduction of AI rams by 20-40 %

**Lacaune:** GS was implemented in 2015

**Manech and Basco-Béarnaise** (Legarra et al., 2014)

- Increase in accuracy in single-breed predictions
  - MTN: 0.11    MTR: 0.16    BB: 0.06
- Multiple-breed pooled predictions
  - No increase in accuracy of genomic evaluations

**Manech and Basco-Béarnaise:** GS was implemented in 2017

# Objective

## Latxa (Legarra et al., 2014)

- Hardly understandable results → No conclusive
  - Negative accuracy for CS
  - Contradictory results between breeds
- Probably due to the data structure
  - Weak relationship between training and validation group
  - Reduced number of genotyped rams

**Latxa:** Further studies are required

- **Ascertain the benefit of including molecular information into genetic evaluations of the Latxa sheep**



# Materials & Methods

## Latxa Red Faced



illumina®  
OvineSNP50  
Genotyping BeadChip

### Data 1984-2017

**Genealogical** 150.164

**Phenotypical** 392.295

**Nº ♀ in data** 133.230

**Nº flocks** 325

**Nº ♂ in data** 1835

**Genotyped** 429

**SNPs** 39.593

# Materials & Methods



**Criterion:**  
Accuracy difference

$$R_{\text{validation}} = \frac{\text{cov}(\text{EBV}, \text{TEBV})}{V_{\text{EBV}} V_{\text{TEBV}}}$$

$$R^2_{\text{validation}} = R^2(\text{EBV}, \text{TEBV}) \frac{1+k}{\text{EDC}} \quad k = \frac{4-h^2}{h^2}$$

(Mäntysaari et al., 2010)



→ BLUP genetic evaluation

Rams in training group				Rams in validation group		
Nº genotyped	Nº nongenotyped	Birth years	Average EDC	Nº genotyped	Birth years	Average EDC
184	1158	1984-2011	79.76	93	2012-2014	40.26

# Validation group

## Results

Model	Bias	Slope	R
CS	32.64±2.13	0.60±0.11	0.41±0.06
GS	14.09±4.33	0.52±0.08	0.44±0.05
GS - CS	-18.55±3.07	-0.08±0.06	<b>0.03±0.03</b>

R(CI,GI): 0.89

R(CS,GS): 0.97

7.6%

## Previous results (Legarra et al., 2014)

Model	Bias	Slope	R
CS	145.81±51.72	-0.08±0.39	-0.05±0.26
GS	91.05±36.72	0.33±0.27	0.26±0.20
GS - CS	-50.34±29.12	0.37±0.22	<b>0.30±0.13</b>



# Families

Model	50 lambs selected	Nº fathers
CS	44	42
GS		46



# Conclusions


7.6% (¿?) increase in accuracy for genomic evaluations

Lower than values reported in other breeds

→ Interesting genotyping strategies  
→ Study of the practical implementation







**ESKERRIK ASKO  
MUCHAS GRACIAS  
THANK YOU  
MERCİ BEAUCOUP  
HVALA**

**[igranado@neiker.eus](mailto:igranado@neiker.eus)**

**neiker**  
tecnalia



EHONKARRAREN GARAPEN  
ETA AZPIGEGUTIA SAILA  
DEPARTAMENTO DE DESARROLLO  
ECONÓMICO E INFRAESTRUCTURAS



**Interreg**   
POCTEFA

Acknowledgments. To CONFELAC, for providing the milk recording data.  
To the Department of Economic Development and Infrastructure of the Basque Government, for the grant for young researchers and technologists.  
To POCTEFA for financing the project ARDI (EFA208/16) with European Union Fonds européen de développement régional (FEDER) funds.





## 2018 results

Breed	Model	Bias	Slope	Accuracy
LBF	Pedigree	8.02±22.97	0.96±0.17	0.59±0.08
	Genomic	104.84±8.17	0.62±0.17	0.43±0.09
	Genomic-pedigree	96.82±18.05	-0.34±0.13	<b>-0.15±0.07</b>
LRF	Pedigree	32.64±2.13	0.60±0.11	0.47±0.07
	Genomic	14.09±4.33	0.52±0.08	0.50±0.06
	Genomic-pedigree	-18.55±3.07	-0.08±0.06	<b>0.03±0.04</b>

26.7  
%

7.6  
%

## Previous results (Legarra et al., 2014)

Breed	Model	Bias	Slope	Accuracy
LBF	Pedigree	57.47±27.94	0.33±0.28	0.34±0.28
	Genomic	70.54±32.02	0.19±0.32	0.19±0.31
	Genomic-pedigree	14.77±15.38	-0.15±0.16	<b>-0.18±0.13</b>
LRF	Pedigree	145.81±51.72	-0.08±0.39	-0.05±0.26
	Genomic	91.05±36.72	0.33±0.27	0,26±0.20
	Genomic-pedigree	-50.34±29.12	0.37±0.22	<b>0.30±0.13</b>

