

Genomic tools for use in the New Zealand deer industry

A

Principal Component Analysis

Ken Dodds Suzanne Rowe Andrew Hess John McEwan Timothy Bilton Rudiger Brauning Alice Chappell & Shannon Clarke AgResearch, Invermay Research Centre, New Zealand ken.dodds@agresearch.co.nz

āta mātai, mātai whetū

Introduction

- New Zealand (NZ) deer are farmed for venison & velvet.
- DNA markers have been used for NZ deer since late 1980's for breed and parentage. Deer behaviour prevents manual recording of pedigree at birth.
- Since 2017 genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) has been used as the marker system.
- These data allow various genetic analyses.

Aim

Show how low-depth GBS data can support faster genetic progress in the New Zealand deer industry.

Methodology

- Animals: Invermay herd, 554 calves born 2018 plus parents. Add 109 breed standards and 4949 random industry animals for breed analysis.
- GBS:
- Hiseq2500 \rightarrow UNEAK ^[6] \rightarrow SNP catalog Hiseq2500 \rightarrow Tagdigger ^[2] \rightarrow Allele counts Check & combine results from same animal
- Population structure and breed prediction: ^[3,5]
 Allele counts → KGD → GRM → PCA
- Gender prediction [1] 15 Y, 1006 X SNPs
- Parentage analysis [3,4] (github.com/AgResearch/KGD)

Results

- SNP catalog: 74,798 SNPs, 66,824 SNPs after filters (MAF >0.01, HW disequilibrium > -0.05). Call rate was 76.9%, mean depth was 3.37.
- PCA separates breed types (A). Mean (range) for Invermay animals was 58 (4-91) % Eastern, 39 (7-96) % English and 3 (0-19) % Wapiti.
- Gender (B): Parent gender confirmed, except 1 dam.
 Four calves had gender corrected (1 confirmed, 3 unavailable).
- Parentage (**C**, **D**). Sire + dam: 535 calves; dam only: 17 calves; sire only: 1 calf; neither parent: 1 calf.

Acknowledgements

Funded by DEEResearch and the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment (NZ), through the "Genomics for Production & Security in a Biological Economy" programme. Data provided by the AgResearch, Invermay deer team.

References with doi

- [1] Bilton (2019) 10.1111/age.12782[2] Clark (2016) 0.1186/s13029-016-0057-7[3] Dodds (2015) 10.1186/s12864-015-2252-3
- [4] Dodds (2019) 10.1534/g3.119.400501

[5] Kuehn (2011) 10.2527/jas.2010-3530

[6] Lu (2013) 10.1371/journal.pgen.1003215

Eastern **Gender Testing** English Wapiti Invermay Recorded Industry Male Female Sire Progeny -5 Heterozygosity (X chr) Principal component 1 (80%) Mismatches vs Relatedness for Best Sire Mismatch Rates for Sire - Dam - Calf Assign Both parents F Sire only M Dam only No parents Relatedness for dam-only calves **Calf Inbreeding** Possible sire group

Discussion

- Wapiti and Red deer genetically very different (A). Some Wapiti ancestry in Invermay herd. Breed proportions can be used in national evaluations.
- Gender can be checked/assigned with parentage test.
- Relatedness estimates low influenced by breeds and estimated allele frequencies; low thresholds used (C). Possibly 2-3 true sires missing (E).
- Inbreeding estimates available (**F**) provides an alert to breeding program. Not constrained to [0,1]. Negative estimates due to parents of different breeds.
- Genomic relatedness allows genomic prediction and association analysis.

