Optimal management of gene and allelic diversity in subdivided populations

Authors: <u>E. López-Cortegano</u>¹, R. Pouso¹, A. Pérez-Figueroa², J. Fernández³, A. Caballero¹

Email: <u>e.lopez@uvigo.es</u>

¹ University of Vigo (Vigo, Spain)

² Biomedical Research Center (CINBO; Vigo, Spain)

³ Spanish National Institute of Agrarian and Alimentary Research and Technology (INIA; Madrid, Spain)







Heterozygosity

Depends on:

- allelic frequencies:



Allelic diversity

- the absence / presence of alleles



Heterozygosity

Relates to:

- Inbreeding: *F* = **1** - *H*



Figure 2 - Estimated 305 d milk yields (kg) by inbreeding level (%F) from the cubic-spline model adjusted for herd-year-season effects.



(from Geha MJ et al. 2011)

Heterozygosity

Relates to:

- Inbreeding: *F* = 1 *H*
- Additive variance: $V_A = 2 p (1-p) \alpha^2$ (and the response to selection)





Allelic diversity

Is more sensitive to:

- Bottlenecks





Duration of bottleneck in generations

Allelic diversity

Is more sensitive to:

- Bottlenecks

Relates to:

- Long-term response to selection (*i.e.* adaptive potential)





(from Vilas A et al. 2015)

Heterozygosity / Allelic diversity Within populations

Maximization of heterozygosity should be the strategy of choice (Fernández et al 2004)



Allelic diversity in subdivided populations

Total allelic diversity:
$$A_T = AS + DA$$

 $A_S = \left(\frac{1}{n}\sum a_i\right) - 1$
 $D_A = \frac{1}{n^2}\sum \sum d_{A,ij}$

Allelic diversity in subdivided populations

Total allelic diversity:
$$A_T = AS + DA$$

 $A_{S,1} = 2$
 $A_{S,2} = 2$
 $D_{A,1,2} = 0$
 $D_{A,2,3} = 1.5$
 $D_{A,2,3} = 1.5$

K = 4

Metapop2 software

AR ECOLOGY

WILEY

Received: 13 October 2018 Revised: 7 March 2019 Accepted: 19 March 2019

DOI: 10.1111/1755-0998.13015

RESOURCE ARTICLE

METAPOP2: Re-implementation of software for the analysis and management of subdivided populations using gene and allelic diversity

Eugenio López-Cortegano^{1,2} | Andrés Pérez-Figueroa^{1,3} | Armando Caballero^{1,2}

- Available at GitLab: https://gitlab.com/elcortegano/metapop2



Example data from Cattle

Subpopulations contribution to diversity:

- Most breeds contribute to total diversity
- JER breed breed harbors little H_s and A_s
- PIED contributes differently to D_G and D_A



(López-Cortegano et al 2019; Cattle data from Decker et al 2014)

Example data from Cattle



(Ramljak et al 2018)

1st Take-home message



Genomic markers:

- High density of haplotypes (about 1,000 per Morgan)

Population composition:

- 5 subpopulations (N = 40)
- Balanced sex ratio

Computing individuals contributions:

- Using Simulated Annealing
- No limit to number of offspring
- Migration (5 migrants per generation)



The different optimization methods achieved their objectives



Optimizing allelic diversity

maximizes genetic diversity

within subpopulations



Maximizing total heterozygosity does not minimize inbreeding In subdivided populations



Maximizing allelic diversity can be reached by equalling individuals contributions, and minimizes inbreeding



Maximizing heterozygosity is the best choice to minimize inbreeding Only when managing single, undivided populations

 $A_T = \lambda A_S + DA$



2nd Take-home message

Allelic diversity minimizes inbreeding in subdivided populations

Check for updates!



Optimal management of genetic diversity in subdivided populations

Eugenio López-Cortegano¹, Ramón Pouso¹, Adriana Labrador¹, Andrés Pérez-Figueroa¹, Jesús Fernández², Armando Caballero^{3*}

¹Facultad de Biología, Universidad de Vigo, Spain, ²Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Tecnología Agraria y Alimentaria (INIA), Spain, ³University of Vigo, Spain

Thank you!

Thanks to:





MINISTERIO DE AGRICULTURA, PESCA Y ALIMENTACIÓN





Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Tecnología Agraria y Alimentaria

People at:



Contact: <u>e.lopez@uvigo.es</u>

