





# Automatic monitoring of sheep pasture behaviour using motion sensor data



Institute for Agricultural Engineering and Animal Husbandry J. Maxa and S. Thurner

70<sup>th</sup> EAAP Annual Meeting, Ghent, 26<sup>th</sup> - 30<sup>th</sup> August 2019

## **Introduction: Modern technologies & alpine farming**

- Application of modern technologies in agriculture
  - GPS technology already established especially for crop production (e.g. telematics)
  - GPS and other motion sensors not yet fully established for livestock on pasture





- Application of modern technologies to optimize the farm management in alpine regions and to reduce workload
  - **Test of a tracking system for alpine areas**
  - Development of decision-support software tools
  - → Identification of sheep behaviour on pastures based on motion sensor data

#### **Materials and methods**

Prototype of the tracking system







4 GPS satellite signals for animal tracking

Data transmission to web database via GSM, GPRS Online configuration and control of animals

#### Identification of sheep behaviour

#### **Data collection:**

- Tracking collars → 3-axis accelerometer and magnetometer (10 Hz) data from 5 ewes (German Black-headed Mutton Sheep)
- Paddock in Upper Bavaria, Germany
- Visual observations → continuous video sampling; up to 8 hours/day; 4 days
- 7 behavioural activities recorded: walking, running, grazing, ruminating, standing, lying and social behaviour



#### Data analysis and algorithm development:

- 4 behavioural activities analysed: walking, grazing, standing and lying
- Log-transformed accelerometer and magnetometer data (x, y, z-axes) used for analysis
- Sensor data merged with behaviours into 10-s intervals
  > calculation of mean and SD (according to González et al., 2015)
- Selection of variables with significant effect on behaviour based on mixed effects regression analysis using Bonferroni correction
- Fitting of probability density function (PDF) to data with mixture distributions to obtain threshold values (R, mixdist package)

### **Results: Selection of variables**

## Differences among behaviours based on accelerometer data (10-s means and SD)

	Walking	Grazing	Standing	Lying
X - mean	11.39 <sup>a</sup>	11.28 <sup>a</sup>	11.52 <sup>a</sup>	11.65 <sup>a</sup>
Y - mean	<b>9.44</b> <sup>a</sup>	9.66 <sup>a</sup>	9.42 <sup>a</sup>	9.01 <sup>a</sup>
Z - mean	11.93 <sup>a</sup>	11.99 <sup>a</sup>	12.01 <sup>a</sup>	11.82 <sup>a</sup>

### **Results: Selection of variables**

## Differences among behaviours based on magnetometer data (10-s means and SD)

	Walking	Grazing	Standing	Lying
X - mean	6.73 <sup>a</sup>	<b>7.67</b> <sup>b</sup>	5.03 <sup>c</sup>	4.77 <sup>c</sup>
Y - mean	6.55 <sup>a</sup>	<b>7.</b> 44 <sup>b</sup>	4.70 <sup>c</sup>	4.52 <sup>c</sup>
Z - mean	6.65 <sup>a</sup>	7.36 <sup>b</sup>	4.91 <sup>c</sup>	4.56 <sup>c</sup>

### **Results: Selection of variables**

## Differences among behaviours based on magnetometer data (10-s means and SD)

	Walking	Grazing	Standing	Lying
X - mean	6.73 <sup>a</sup>	<b>7.67</b> <sup>b</sup>	5.03 <sup>c</sup>	4.77 <sup>c</sup>
Y - mean	6.55 <sup>a</sup>	<b>7.44</b> <sup>b</sup>	4.70 <sup>c</sup>	4.52 <sup>c</sup>
Z - mean	6.65 <sup>a</sup>	7.36 <sup>b</sup>	4.91 <sup>c</sup>	<b>4.56</b> °
X - SD	6.57 <sup>a</sup>	7.52 <sup>b</sup>	4.90 <sup>c</sup>	4.53 <sup>c</sup>
Y - SD	6.46 <sup>a</sup>	7.33 <sup>b</sup>	4.55 <sup>c</sup>	4.05°
Z - SD	6.36 <sup>a</sup>	6. 5 <sup>a</sup>	<b>4.71</b> <sup>b</sup>	<b>4.24</b> <sup>b</sup>

Y-axis (SD) and vector-magnitude of X, Y, Z-axes of magnetometer

included for further analyses

### **Results:** Algorithm development

Distribution of Y-axis magnetometer data (10-s SD) per observed behaviour



### **Results: Algorithm development**

Distribution of vector-magnitude of XYZ-axis magnetometer data (10-s SD) per observed behaviour



### **Results: Algorithm development**

## Distribution of Y-axis magnetometer data (10-s SD) across all behaviours



#### **Results: Evaluation**

#### Performance measures of classification algorithm

	Low activity Lying / Standing	High activity Grazing / Walking	
Sensitivity (%)	94.3	96.1	
Specificity (%)	96.1	94.3	
Accuracy (%)	95.7		



### **Conclusions and perspectives**

#### Conclusions

- Tracking systems for sheep can be very useful in extensive conditions such as on alpine pastures.
- Sheep behaviours with low and high activity could be distinguished based on Y-axis magnetometer or by applying the vector-magnitude of X, Y, Z-axes of magnetometer.
- In total, 96 % of data could be correctly classified into both activity levels in test dataset.

