Single-step evaluation for calving traits with 1.5 million genotypes: SNP-based approaches

J. Vandenplas, R. Veerkamp, R. Evans, M.P.L. Calus & J. ten Napel









Single-step SNPBLUP

Prediction of

- genomic breeding values for genotyped and nongenotyped animals
- SNP effects
- Main difference with ssGBLUP
 - No computation of a genomic relationship matrix (or its inverse)



Single-step SNPBLUP

Two ssSNPBLUP variants explored

Solutions	Liu et al. (2014)	Mantysaari and Stranden (2016)
SNP effects	\checkmark	\checkmark
Aggregate GEBVs		
Ungenotyped	\checkmark	\checkmark
Genotyped	\checkmark	X
Residual polygenic	X	\checkmark



Aim

Investigation of the performance of

two ssSNPBLUP approaches

using

a multi-trait dataset and >1.5 million genotypes



ICBF evaluation for calving traits

Same data and model as previous presentation

Number of	
Traits	6
Records (until 2015)	3,221,888
Pedigree animals	10,359,131
Genotypes	1,512,383
SNPs	50,855

Equations

137,753,736





From One to Many: Re-Defining Calving Evaluations to Cater for Divergent Cow Types

Ross Evans, A.Cromie, S.Ring, T.Pabiou Irish Cattle Breeding Federation, Highfield House, Bandon, Cork, Ireland



ICBF evaluation for calving traits

Direct and maternal genetic effects for all traits

Genetic groups (breed fractions) as regressions

SNP effects

- Current allele frequencies
- Both direct and maternal genetic effects
- Residual polygenic effect: 5%





From One to Many: Re-Defining Calving Evaluations to Cater for Divergent Cow Types

Ross Evans, A.Cromie, S.Ring, T.Pabiou Irish Cattle Breeding Federation, Highfield House, Bandon, Cork, Ireland



Software

PCG solver + second-level diagonal preconditioner

- → "A diagonal preconditioner for solving single-step SNPBLUP efficiently", Session 65, Abstract p. 595.
- Convergence: Relative residual <10⁻⁶
- All data in memory to allow parallelization
 - Records
 - Pedigree relationship matrix
 - Compressed genotypes (4 SNPs/1byte; Plink format)
- Multi-threaded (10 threads) Fortran program





Performance





Performance

	Liu et al. (2014)	Mantysaari and Stranden (2016)
Number of iterations	1,415	1,603
Total time (h)	23.0	30.4
Time/iteration (s)	56.4	64.6
Time (s) for 1 multiplication of the genotype matrix	26.2	26.0
Peek memory (RAM; GB)	93	109
Genotypes (GB)		35.8



Single-step SNPBLUP

- Achievable for routine evaluations
- Convergence properties need to be investigated
 - Other threshold/convergence criterion?
- Software
 - Still under development (preconditioner, time, RAM)

Mideralup

- Low amounts of memory and I/O
- Shared-memory parallelisation
- → Suitable for current hardware



Conclusions

Routine ssSNPBLUP?

- Achievable within reasonable time
 - With large genotype datasets
 - With current hardware
- Requires an adapted (but simple) PCG solver
- Liu et al. (2014) approach
 - Seems to be the most efficient ssSNPBLUP approach





Take-home message of the 2 presentations

- Single-step evaluations
 - ssGBLUP_APY / ssGTBLUP (Ismo Stranden)
 - ssSNPBLUP
- →All currently achievable, even with >1.5 million genotypes
- Still room for improvement
 - Convergence preconditioner
 - Time / memory







World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production

Rotterdam | The Netherlands 3 - 8 July 2022

WCGALP 2022

QUALITY, INCLUSIVE, ATTRACTIVE AND MODERN. WITH A DUTCH TOUCH.

www.wcgalp2022.com

IMPORTANT DATES

D-1

12 January 2022: Deadline abstract submission1 March 2022: Deadline early bird registration





BREEDOD



