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## Introduction

## Introduction

- The microbial community plays an important role inside each living body and profoundly influences health and disease
- The microorganisms residing in the gut live in intimal contact with each other and establish many mutualist or symbiotic relationships with the host
- These communities are influenced by many factors such as environment, age, sex, and diet



## Introduction

Efficiency of producing meat determined:

- Feed Costs
- Quality of lean meat produced

Using feed resources more efficiently:

- Through diet
- Exploiting genomic variability for feed efficiency

Concentrating only on the pig variability


- Diminished marginal gains
- Losses of overall fitness


## Introduction

The intestinal microbiome:

- Affects degradation of carbohydrates
- Provides short chain fatty acid
- Produces essential vitamins

Different composition of gut microbiome:

- Alters ability of degrading enzymes
- Maintains population balance
- Influences overall health status
- Controls fatness and growth



## Objectives

## Objective

- Microbial diversity in pigs has been described to some extent.
- Composition and function of a healthy microbial population not yet employed as a tool to maximize animal health and performance
- Characterizing temporal changes in the microbiome community of pig feces with respect to both composition and diversity

- Investigating the potential influence of host genetics on this diversity.


## Objective $_{(s)}$

- Association between microbiome extracted from fecal samples with host growth and fatness parameters
- Heritability estimates for taxa significantly linked to growth and fatness
- Genome-wide association between taxa significantly associated with growth parameters and pigs single nucleotide polymorphisms

Materials and Methods

## Experimental Design



## Analysis Design


-Amplification of V4 region sequences merged into a single sequence - OTU table rarefied to 10,000 counts

## Microbiome Phenotype Association

$\boldsymbol{P h e n}=\boldsymbol{X} b+\gamma O T U+\boldsymbol{W} p+\boldsymbol{e}$

- Sex
- Sire
- Breed
- Contemporary group
- Pen

| Phenotype | Mean | SD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{ADG}_{\text {B14 }}, \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{d}$ | 0.57 | 0.08 |
| $\mathrm{ADG}_{\text {W14 }}, \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{d}$ | 0.64 | 0.10 |
| $\mathrm{ADG}_{1422}, \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{d}$ | 0.87 | 0.16 |
| $\mathrm{ADG}_{14 \mathrm{Mk},} \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{d}$ | 0.89 | 0.14 |
| $\mathrm{BF}_{14}, \mathrm{~mm}$ | 12.5 | 2.81 |
| $\mathrm{BF}_{22}, \mathrm{~mm}$ | 20.1 | 5.36 |
| $\mathrm{LD}_{14}, \mathrm{~mm}$ | 42.3 | 4.80 |
| $\mathrm{LD}_{22}, \mathrm{~mm}$ | 55.8 | 5.15 |

## Taxa h² estimates

## $\boldsymbol{O T} \boldsymbol{U}=\boldsymbol{X} b+\mathbb{Z} s+\boldsymbol{W} p+\boldsymbol{e}$

- Sex
- Breed
- Contemporary group
- Sire

- Pen


## Taxa GWAS

$\boldsymbol{O T U}=\boldsymbol{X} b+K m+\boldsymbol{Z} s+\boldsymbol{W} p+\boldsymbol{e}$

Results

## Taxa Composition
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## $h^{2}$ Estimates

Weaning

betaproteobacteria-

$h^{2}$ Estimates MidTest

MidTest


## $h^{2}$ Estimates

 OffestOffTest
deltaproteobacteria


Family
bacteroidaceae
clostridiaceae
coriobacteriaceae
corynebacteriaceae
desulfovibrionaceae
eubacteriaceae
fusobacteriaceae
lachnospiraceae
lactobacillaceae
porphyromonadaceae
prevotellaceae
ruminococcaceae
spirochaetaceae
streptococcaceae
unassigned
unclassified_clostridiales
veillonellaceae

| Phylum | Class | Order | Family | Genus | Species | MidTest | OffTest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| actinobacteria | actinobacteria_1760 | actinomycetales | unassigned | unassigned | unassigned | 0.0205 | 0.0205 |
| bacteroidetes | bacteroidia | bacteroidales | porphyromonadaceae | unassigned | unassigned | 0.0262 | 0.0333 |
| bacteroidetes | bacteroidia | bacteroidales | porphyromonadaceae | unassigned | unassigned | 0.0280 | 0.0339 |
| bacteroidetes | bacteroidia | bacteroidales | prevotellaceae | prevotella | unassigned | 0.0395 | 0.0316 |
| bacteroidetes | bacteroidia | bacteroidales | prevotellaceae | prevotella | copri | 0.0271 | 0.0299 |
| bacteroidetes | bacteroidia | bacteroidales | prevotellaceae | prevotella | copri | 0.0261 | 0.0211 |
| bacteroidetes | unassigned | unassigned | unassigned | unassigned | unassigned | 0.0290 | 0.0228 |
| bacteroidetes | unassigned | unassigned | unassigned | unassigned | unassigned | 0.0265 | 0.0312 |
| bacteroidetes | unassigned | unassigned | unassigned | unassigned | unassigned | 0.0366 | 0.0421 |
| firmicutes | bacilli | lactobacillales | lactobacillaceae | lactobacillus | reuteri | 0.0205 | 0.0221 |
| firmicutes | bacilli | lactobacillales | lactobacillaceae | lactobacillus | reuteri | 0.0282 | 0.0238 |
| firmicutes | bacilli | lactobacillales | streptococcaceae | streptococcus | gallolyticus | 0.0331 | 0.0599 |
| firmicutes | clostridia | clostridiales | clostridiaceae | clostridium | sp_shc10 | 0.0232 | 0.0433 |
| firmicutes | clostridia | clostridiales | clostridiaceae | clostridium | butyricum | 0.0433 | 0.1142 |
| firmicutes | clostridia | clostridiales | lachnospiraceae | blautia | obeum | 0.0362 | 0.0269 |
| firmicutes | clostridia | clostridiales | ruminococcaceae | subdoligranulum | variabile | 0.0231 | 0.0345 |
| firmicutes | clostridia | clostridiales | ruminococcaceae | ruminococcus | sp_ce2 | 0.0212 | 0.0553 |
| firmicutes | clostridia | clostridiales | unassigned | unassigned | unassigned | 0.0245 | 0.0554 |
| firmicutes | clostridia | clostridiales | unassigned | unassigned | unassigned | 0.0297 | 0.0340 |
| firmicutes | clostridia | clostridiales | unassigned | unassigned | unassigned | 0.0281 | 0.0211 |
| firmicutes | clostridia | clostridiales | unassigned | unassigned | unassigned | 0.0397 | 0.0417 |
| firmicutes | clostridia | clostridiales | unassigned | unassigned | unassigned | 0.0201 | 0.0226 |
| firmicutes | clostridia | clostridiales | unassigned | unassigned | unassigned | 0.0267 | 0.0444 |
| firmicutes | negativicutes | selenomonadales | veillonellaceae | unassigned | unassigned | 0.0306 | 0.0207 |
| unassigned | unassigned | unassigned | unassigned | unassigned | unassigned | 0.0233 | 0.0343 |
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## GWAS

## Weaning
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## GWAS $\alpha$ Diversity

 Weaning

## GWAS $\alpha$ Diversity

Alpha diversity MidTest MidTest


## GWAS $\alpha$ Diversity
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## Summary

## MWAS

- 5 taxa at weaning significantly associated with ADG
- 48 taxa from MidTest significantly associated with $\mathrm{BF}_{14}, \mathrm{BF}_{22}, \mathrm{LD}_{14}$, and $\mathrm{LD}_{22}$;
- 19 taxa from OffTest were significantly associated with $\mathrm{BF}_{22}$ and ADG.
- Taxa with higher effect on ADG and carcass traits included
- Peptococcus niger, Rothia nasimurium, Coprococcus comes, Finegoldia magna, Faecalibacterium prausnitzii.
$h^{2}$
- Heritability estimates for the significant taxa at weaning, MidTest, and OffTest were from 0.021 to 0.057 , 0.020 to 0.072 , and 0.020 to 0.136 , respectively.


## GWAS

- There were 15, 21, and 29 SNPs significantly associated with 9, 10, 18 taxa within Weaning, MidTest, and OffTest, respectively.
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