Critical fail and success factors for reduced use of antibiotics in veal calves

EAAP 2019 Workshop 37: Strategies reducing antimicrobial need

Martien Bokma [JW van Riel, CC de Lauwere, AFG Antonis, M Kluivers]









Background



- Dutch veal calf sector: various activities since 2007 to reduce use of antibiotics (AB) → 47% reduction until 2016; since then stagnating
- Veterinary Medicine Authority (SDa) 2016: analyse characteristics low-use farms compared to high-use farms → derive success factors
- Study ordered by Dutch Ministry of Agriculture and veal industry (SBK/SKV): conducted in 2016-17; covering data years 2013-2015





Research objectives

 besides giving insight in transitions of farms in AB use between years, between groups within farms et cetera, and other sub-objectives,

 to identify factors that are associated with AB use on white-veal farms, by statistical analysis of characteristics at farm and group level based on data owned by the veal calf sector





3

Materials and methods: focus on statistical analysis

InfoKalf database: owned by veal sector, containing data on AB use and farm and group-level characteristics (farm AI-AO)

Factors of the database included in the analysis [2013-2015]
Group-level dataset
Region (2 digit postal code)
Veterinarian code and veal farm code
Number of calves (total number, # gender (heifers/bulls), # colour code (b&w non-b&w)
Number of nationalities in the group (incl. nationality of largest subgroup)
Date of first and last delivered calf at onset
Duration of vacancy period between rounds
Average starting weight and sum (treatment weights)
DDDA, split according to 1st, 2nd and 3rd choice





Materials and methods (2): statistical analysis

- Data nested structure → mixed model, total variance in 3 variance groups: veterinarian, veal farm (between-farm variance), group (within-farm variance)
- 1) explanatory factors tested singly (univariate) on AB use effect
- 2) forward selection of explanatory factors (multivariate); attr. R2
- 3) examining possible interaction between terms in the model
- significant factors (p < 0.05)</p>





Materials and methods (3): statistical analysis

- Effects of factors 'gender', 'nationality' and 'colour code' have been corrected for body weight
- Index factor = the multiplication factor to show the relative effect (average is 1; 0.85 means 15% less AB use)

year	White veal DDDA (N farms total)	White veal DDDA (709 farms; N groups)
2013	23.2 (780)	29.1 (1059)
2014	19.8 (780)	26.7 (1026)
2015	22.4 (780)	28.8 (1047)





Results: effect of country of origin and number of origins

Factor in multivariate model	Subclasses	Index	95%CI	N
Country of origin (for 1	The Netherlands	reference		1766
country of origin and colour	Germany	0.93	0.86 - 1.00	762
code >80% b&w)	Other	0.90	0.79 - 1.01	604
Interaction between the	>1; The Netherlands	reference		696
number of countries of	>1; Germany	1.00	0.94 - 1.07	529
origin and the main country of origin	>1; Other	1.13	1.02 - 1.25	541

- Groups with 100% Dutch calves → higher AB use (up to 10%) than comparable homogeneous flocks from other nationalities
- Groups 'other' 2 or more nationalities → exception to this, comparable to 100% Dutch calves





Results (2): effect of group size and interaction with nationalities

Factor in multivariate model	Subclasses	Index	95%CI	N
	<400	reference		639
Number of calves in the	400 - 800	1.15	1.08 - 1.22	1190
group (for 1 country of	800 - 1200	1.21	1.13 - 1.29	868
origin)	>1200	1.26	1.15 - 1.36	435
	>1; <400	reference		230
Interaction between	>1; 400 - 800	1.06	1.00 - 1.13	668
number of nationalities	>1; 800 - 1200	1.06	1.00 - 1.12	548
and group size	>1; >1200	1.12	1.01 - 1.23	320

- More AB use in larger than smaller groups (up to 26%)
- More nationalities in the group, differences in AB use btw. small (< 400) and large groups (> 1200) are also pronounced





Results (3): effect of % female calves and Irish calves

Factor in multivariate model	Subclasses	Index	95%CI	N
	0 - 50%	reference		1538
% heifer calves in the	50 - 80%	0.95	0.92 - 0.98	1313
group	> 80 %	0.86	0.81 - 0.92	1281
% Irish calves in the	0 - 50%	reference		3035
group (with country of	50 - 80%	0.82	0.75 – 0.90	52
origin is 'Other')	> 80%	0.70	0.63 - 0.78	45

- Higher % of female calves \rightarrow up to 14% lower AB use
- 100% Irish calves in the group: associated with 30% lower AB



Results (4): effect of body weight at start

Factor	Subclasses (kg)	Index	Ν
	<41.5	Reference	412
Effect of average	41.5 - 43	0.95	349
starting body weight	43 - 44.5	0.95	648
	44.5 - 49	0.89	635
	49 - 52	0.89	597
	>52	0.87	489

Factor in multivariate model	Subclasses	Index	95%CI	Ν
Effect of average starting weight compared to the overall average starting weight (linear effect)	X: Body weight -/- average (body weight)	0.991	0.986 – 0.996	3132

Also linear checked: increase in average starting body weight of 1 kg coincides with appr. 1% lower AB use → max. 10% in study range





Results (5): effect of season at start (onset of calves)



- Lower AB use when started in spring or summer
- Appr. 7% lower AB use with start date 1th July compared to 1th January





Wrap up main effects

Factor in multivariate model	Association \rightarrow AB use is reduced when:
Group size (1 origin)	group sizes are smaller (strong effect)
Country of origin (b&w calves; 1)	other nationality than NL
Number of origins	only 1 nationality compared to more nationalities in the group
Body weight at start	when average starting weight is higher (1 kg higher 1% less AB)
Proportion of heifers	higher proportion of heifers in the group (> 50%)
Irish calves	higher percentage of Irish calves in the group (strong effect)
Season	start of the rearing in spring or summer





Explained variance

Variance component	Explained variance by multivariate model	Source of unexplained variance in final model (sum=100%)	N (2013-2015)
Veterinarian	49,4 %	3,6 %	54 veterinarians
Farm	38,5 %	14,2 %	709 farms
Group	5,6 %	82,2 %	3132 groups
Total	14.7%		

- farm size most important explanatory factor, then N natiol., weigth..
- other factors than available in dataset also relevant!
- \rightarrow farmers/vet attitude, stockmanship, quality of housing, of calves,..?





Follow up

- Several determinants of AB use (statistical associations) → what are underlying reasons?
- and: several large white-veal farms, despite meeting all `failure' factors of model, have structural low AB use → how do they do it? ...
- 2018-2019: in-depth qualitative research on large white-veal farms: 5 low users; 5 matching high users: → both technical and social factors

NL veal sector already achieved strong reduction in AB use → the search for additional reducing strategies is going on!











Thank you for your attention!



Results (4) effects of colour code b&w/non-b&w (breed)

Factor	Subclasses	Index	95%CI	Ν
Percentage	80-100%	refer.		1169
colour code b&w	50-80%	1.07	1.02 - 1.13	1043
in the group	<50%	0.95	0.88 - 1.02	920
(with main				
country of origin				
NL)				
Interaction	50-80%; The	refer.		633
colour code b&w	Netherlands			
and main	50-80%;	1.00	0.90 - 1.10	190
country of origin	Germany			
, 3	50-80%;	0.93	0.84 - 1.03	220
	Overig			
	<50%; The	refer.		816
	Netherlands			
	<50%;	1.15	1.02 - 1.28	65
	Germany			
	<50%; Overig	0.88	0.74 - 1.03	39

- Groups with mainly German calves:
- > 50% b&w have ca. 15% lower AB use than predominantly non b&w calves





Summarizing main effects

Factor in multivariate model	Association \rightarrow AB use is reduced when:	Explananation?
Group size (1 origin)	group sizes are smaller (strong effect)	Lower infection pressure, infection persistence,?
Country of origin (b&w calves; 1)	other nationality than NL	(Pre)selection before transport, other breeds?
Number of origins	only 1 nationality compared to more nationalities in the group	Differences in health and immune status,?
Body weight at start	when average starting weight is higher (1 kg higher 1% less AB)	Heavier calves more robust and/or prev. less problems?
Proportion of heifers	higher proportion of heifers in the group (> 50%)	Heifers more robust, bull calves grow (too) fast?
Irish calves	 higher percentage of Irish calves in the group (strong effect) 	?? Unknown
Season	start of the rearing in spring or summer	Less respiratory problems at higher T and lower RH,?