Challenges in establishing the mineral composition of feed materials

Gilles Tran Valérie Heuzé AFZ

EAAP 2023, Lyon, France

### Mineral elements in the French Feed Database

The French Feed Database (FFD) has been collecting laboratory data on feed materials for more than 30 years.

- 3 million individual data
- 640,000 samples
- 7000 different feed materials



- 1300 chemical, physical, and bioavailability parameters
  - of those, 33 are mineral elements: macrominerals (Ca, P, K, Mg, Na, Cl) and trace minerals (Fe, Cu, Zn, etc.)

#### Just a small window in the world of feed analysis!

## Mineral data availability

- 327,000 individual mineral data : 11% of the total data
- Less than dry matter, protein or fibres
- Crude ash still the main "mineral parameter"



#### Mineral data availability

- Ca, P, K, Mg: 76% of the mineral data (85% with Na and Cl)
- Ca and P are usually measured together
- The main trace minerals are Cu, Zn, Mn and Fe: 11% of the mineral data
- All other minerals: 5% of the mineral data, ie
  0.6% of the total data



## Mineral data availability

Half a million crude protein values... Only 252 values for iodine in all the database! And about 50 of them are on iodine-based mineral products (potassium iodine, calcium iodate)



#### Mineral data availability

#### Only 1 value for iodine for wheat grain!



## Mineral data availability

Out of 7000 different feeds:

- 95% have protein values
- 58% have Ca and P values
- 24% have Cu values
- 19% have Fe values
- 1% have iodine values



#### Challenge N°1

Mineral data availability remains insufficient We know less about minerals in feed materials than we think...

- Coverage is correct for macrominerals and for the major feeds.
- Insufficient for other minerals and lesser feeds
- There are many feeds in the FFD with no good mineral coverage
- When creating feed tables, it may be necessary to "borrow" values from other tables (including food tables)

In addition to the usual sources of variability (genetics, processes, environmental and cultural practices, analytical methods), mineral composition is influenced by the **presence of foreign material** such as dirt and dust collected at harvest, storage or during transport.

A topic well covered yesterday by Prof. Weiss!

Generally, there are no "hard" limits in the range of minerals, which can cover several orders of magnitudes.

- Minimum value sometimes close to 0 or under the limit of detection
- Maximum value 2 to 10 times or more the minimum value
- Outlier values can be much higher due to contamination, analytical errors, typos...

Calcium in wheat grains

- Vaguely normal, skewed distribution
- Values range from 0.01 to 0.17%



Calcium in maize grains

- Very skewed distribution
- Values range from 0.01 to 0.19%



Phosphorus in all soybean meals

Bimodal distribution



Iron in wheat grains Are the outliers > 90 mg/kg

- Part of the normal range of values
- Abnormal but biologically correct values, caused by an unknown factor
- Analytical errors, typos, unit error...



Usually, relations are poor between ash and minerals.

#### Dried citrus pulp: ash vs phosphorus



15

In the case of dried citrus pulp, there is a good relation between Ca and ash, due to the use of calcium salts in the drying process.

#### Dried citrus pulp: ash vs calcium



... and relationships are often poor between minerals.

#### Soybean meal: calcium vs phosphorus



17

#### **Challenge N°2** Knowledge about mineral variability remains insufficient

We know less about minerals in feed materials than we think...

- Variability of minerals can be large
- It is poorly controlled and assessed, and then only for some major feeds and major minerals
- Again, there is a global lack of knowledge on the assessment of the mineral value of lesser feeds and of trace minerals

Faster, cheaper methods of analysis able to provide full mineral profiles in routine, for a better coverage of the mineral value of both conventional and non-conventional feeds.

Thank you for your attention!